

## SOME BALANCED BIPARTITE ROW-COLUMN DESIGNS

SATYENDER SINGH\* AND B. D. MEHTA

Department of Math. & Statistics  
 CCS Haryana Agricultural University,  
 Hisar-125 004 (Haryana), India  
 \*(e-mail : [satyender@rediffmail.com](mailto:satyender@rediffmail.com))

(Received : 24 August 2013; Accepted : 26 September 2013)

### SUMMARY

A series of balanced bipartite row-column designs (BBPRC-designs) into sets of treatments with  $v_1=4t+3$  (test treatments)  $v_2=2t+2$  (control treatments) with varying replication has been obtained by supplementing a set of  $v_2=2t+2$  treatments in blank positions of non-orthogonal RC design of Agrawal (1966). Such designs find applications in agricultural and industrial experimentation where the investigator wishes to provide unequal replications to two sets of treatments or wishes to estimate the two sets with different precaution and at the same time wants to estimate heterogeneity due to two sources. The variances for different types of comparison have also been worked out.

**Key words :** BBPRC design, general efficiency balanced designs, non-orthogonal row-column designs

In many situations in agriculture, forestry, animal science and plant development programme the investigator wants to provide unequal replications to two sets of treatments comparison of one set of  $V_1$  test treatments and second set  $v_2$  control treatments. Likewise, in bioassays experimentations. The preparation contrast and the combined regression contrast being the most important need to be estimated with higher efficiency as compared to the other contrasts. Some time depending upon the natural heterogeneity due to two sources needs to be estimated. The balanced bipartite row-column designs constructed in this paper are most appropriate under such situations. Hanusz (1995) has applied RC-design in the study of relative policy of two preparations estimating two sources of variations. Like age and weight of the subjects as rows and columns.

### PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

Consider a Row-column Design (RC-design) D ( $v, p, q, r$ ) in  $v$  treatments allotted to  $n=pq$  units arranged in  $p$  rows and  $q$  columns such that each unit receives exactly one treatment and  $i$ -th treatment is replicated  $r_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,v$ ) times. Let  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  denote treatments verses rows and treatments verses columns incidence matrices of the orders  $v \times p$  and  $v \times q$ , respectively, of the RC-design D.

Let  $R = \text{diag}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_v)$  be  $v \times v$  diagonal matrix

and

$r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_v)$  be  $v \times 1$  replication vector of the RC-design D.

Then the Coefficient Matrix C of the reduced Normal equations for estimating treatments parameter ( $Ct = Q$ ) is given by

$$C = R - N_1 N_1' / q - N_2 N_2' / p + rr' / pq \quad (2.1)$$

In particular if  $N_1 = N_2$  and  $p = q$  hold then C-matrix further simplifies to

$$C = (1/p^2) [p^2 R - 2p N_1 N_1' + rr'] \quad (2.2)$$

In most of the practical situations in agricultural experiments we want to compare two disjoint sets of treatments says 1<sup>st</sup> set of  $v_1$  treatments called “test treatments” each replicated  $r_1$  times and 2<sup>nd</sup> set of  $v_2$  treatments called “control treatments” each replicated  $r_2$  times.

Then the replication vector  $r$ , the diagonal matrix  $R$  and the incidence matrices  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  for such RC-designs are of the forms

$$r = [r_1 1_{v_1}, r_2 1_{v_2}]', \quad R = \text{diag} [r_1^\delta, r_2^\delta] \\ N_1 = [N_{11} \quad N_{12}]' ; \quad N_2 = [N_{21} \quad N_{22}] \quad (2.3)$$

Where,  $N_{1i}, N_{2i}$  ( $i=1,2$ ) are the treatments verses rows and treatments verses columns incidents matrices of orders  $v_i \times p$  and  $v_i \times q$  for  $v_1$  test treatments and  $v_2$  control treatments, respectively.

The C-matrix of such RC-designs at (2.1) can be put in the form

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

here  $C_{ij}$  (i, j=1,2) are the matrices of orders  $v_i \times v_i$ . Parsad and Gupta (2001) have shown that if the C-matrix of the RC-design is of the form

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} I & v_1 s_1 I + v_2 s_0 I \\ -s_0 J & v_1 I - s_1 J + v_2 I \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

Where,  $s_0, s_1$  and  $s_2$  are constants, then the RC-design is Balanced bipartite Row-Columns design (BBPRC-design) with parameters  $v_1, v_2, r_1, r_2, p, q, s_0, s_1, s_2$ . Further if  $s_0^2 = s_1 s_2$  holds then the designs are called General efficiency balanced row-column designs (GEB RC designs) of Gupta and Gandhi Prasad (1990).

The variances for three types of comparisons (for BBPRC-designs can be obtained as  $v(t_i - t_j) = 2pq s^2 / (v_1 s_1 + v_2 s_0)$  if  $t_i$  &  $t_j \in I$ st group of  $v_1$  treatments  $= 2pq s^2 / (v_2 s_2 + v_1 s_0)$

$t_i$  &  $t_j \in II$ st group of  $v_2$  treatments

$$= pq \sigma^2 \left[ \frac{v_2 s_0 + s_1}{v_2 s_0 (v_1 s_1 + v_2 s_0)} + \frac{v_2 - 1}{v_2 (v_2 s_2 + v_1 s_0)} \right]$$

Or

$t_i \in I$ st group of  $v_2$  treatments and

$$= pq s^2 \left[ \frac{v_1 s_0 + s_1}{v_1 s_0 (v_2 s_2 + v_1 s_0)} + \frac{v_1 - 1}{v_1 (v_1 s_1 + v_2 s_0)} \right]$$

$t_j \in II$ st group of  $v_2$  treatments  
 $\sigma^2$  being the variance of the design (2.6)

Lot of work on construction and analysis of Bipartite Block design and GEB block design has been done by Das and Gosh (1985), Kageyama and Mukherjee (1986), Kageyama and Sinha (1988) and Gupta and Prasad (2001). But very little work has been done on construction and properties of Balanced bipartite row-column designs by Prasad and Gupta (2001) and on GEB RC-designs by Gupta and Ghandhi Prasad (1990). Parsad *et al.* (2003) used BBPRC-designs for the construction of structurally incomplete Row-columns

designs (SIRC-designs) and Balanced Bipartite Block designs (BBPB-designs). Hanusz (1995) applied RC-designs in the study of relative potency of two preparations and eliminating two sources of variations like ages and weights of subjects as rows and columns. In this paper a series of balanced bipartite row-column designs has been constructed, which for different values of  $v$  provides BBPRC designs with different parameters.

**METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION AND ANALYSIS**

Consider the SBIB/SBIB Rc-design D of Agrawal (1966) with parameters

$$v = p = q = 4t + 3, r = k = h = 2t + 1 \quad (3.1)$$

and having  $N_1 = N_2 = W = N$  say, herer N is the incidence matrix of the symmetrical BIB with parameters

$$v = b = 4t + 3, r = k = 2t + 1, \gamma = 1$$

Agrawal (1966) discussed the procedure for construction of such RC-designs. For the sake of convenience RC-designs are expressed in notations of Zeelan and Feeder (1964) as (Column Incidence matrix  $N_2$ )/(Row Incidence matrix  $N_1$ ). Thus, the above RC-design considered in this series is SBIB/SBIB RC-design.

Let 1,2,.....4t+3 denote the treatments of the basic design. Fill up the remaining (2t+2) positions in the first row with  $v_2 = 2t+2$  treatments, namely,  $1\alpha, 2\alpha \dots, (2t+2)\alpha$ . The remaining rows are to be filled up diagonally cyclically with the same elements. Thus, each of the new treatments will appear once in each row and once in each column. Then we prove the following.

**Theorem** : The design  $D^*$  is BBP RC-design with parameters

$$v_1^* = 4t + 3, v_2^* = 2t + 2, r_1^* = 2t + 1, r_2^* = 4t + 3, s_0 = (2t + 1) / (4t + 3), s_1 = (4t^2 + 2t - 1) / (4t + 3)^2, s_2 = 1$$

**Proof** : The parameters  $v_1^*, v_2^*, p^*, q^*, r_1^*, r_2^*$  are obvious and need no explanation. The treatment verses row and treatments verses columns incidence matrices for the design  $D_3^*$  are :

$$N_1^* = N_2^* = \frac{N}{Jv_2 \times v_1}$$

$$N_1^* N_1^{*'} = N_2^* N_2^{*'} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (t+1)Iv_1 + tJv_1 & (2t+1)Jv_1 xv_2 \\ (2t+1)Jv_2 xv_1 & (4t+3)Jv_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$r^* = [(2t+1)Iv_1(4t+3)tv_2]$$

implies

$$r^* r^{*'} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (2t+1)Jv_1 & (2t+1)(4t+3)Jv_1 xv_2 \\ (2t+1)4t+3 Jv_2 xv_1 & (4t+3)2Jv_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$R^* =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (2t+1)Jv_1 & 0v_1 xv_2 \\ 0v_2 xv_1 & (4t+3)^2 Iv_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since for the RC design  $D^*$ ,  $P^* = q^*$  and  $N_1^* = N_2^*$  holds, so the C matrix of RC-design  $D^*$  is obtained using (2.2) and can be put in the form (2.4) on simplification as

$$C^* =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(4t+3)^2} [(4t+3)(8t^2+8t+1) - (4t^2+2t-1) - (4t^2+2t-1)Jv_1 - (4t^2+2t-1)Jv_1] & -(2t+1)Jv_1 xv_2 / (4t+3) \\ -(2t+1)Jv_2 xv_1 / (4t+3) & [(4t+2+1)Jv_2 - Jv_2] \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, the resultant design  $D^*$  is BBPRC-design with parameters (3.2)

**Illustration :** Consider the following SBIB/ SBIB RC-design D of Agrawal (1966) with parameters  $V=p=q=7, h-k=3, r=3$

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1		3	5		2		
2			4	6		3	
3				5	7		4
4	5				6	1	
5		6				7	2
6	3		7				1
7	2	4		1			

Fill up the four positions of first row by treatments  $1\alpha,$

$2\alpha, 3\alpha$  and  $4\alpha$  and fill up the remaining rows diagonally as explained earlier we get the following design.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	$1\alpha$	3	5	$2\alpha$	2	$3\alpha$	$4\alpha$
2	$4\alpha$	$1\alpha$	4	6	$2\alpha$	3	$3\alpha$
3	$3\alpha$	$4\alpha$	$1\alpha$	5	7	$2\alpha$	4
4	5	$3\alpha$	$4\alpha$	$1\alpha$	6	1	$2\alpha$
5	$2\alpha$	6	$3\alpha$	$4\alpha$	$1\alpha$	7	2
6	3	$2\alpha$	7	$3\alpha$	$4\alpha$	$1\alpha$	1
7	2	4	$2\alpha$	1	$3\alpha$	$4\alpha$	$1\alpha$

The above design is BBP RC-design has the parameters  $v_1=7, v_2=4, p^*=q^*=7, r_1^*=3, r_2^*=7, s_0=3/7, s_1=5/49, s_2=7$

### APPLICATION

Besides in agriculture Bipartite row-column designs have wide applications in medical science, animal science and industrial experimentations. In field experiments rows and columns may be considered as two perpendicular directions of fertility variation. Likewise in animal science for studying the milk yield rows and columns may be taken as lactation numbers and breeds. These designs are advantageous over latin square as numbers of breeds, feeds and lactations all may be different and need smaller number of animals to test the same number; of feeds as compared to that in latin square design and at the same time eliminating heterogeneity due to two sources thus resulting in higher precision.

Freeman (1975) while studying the effect of chemicals to control the plant diseases considered columns as residual effect of treatments of the previous trail in RBD and the rows as blocks of RBD experiment currently under study. Henusz (1995) while studying the relative potency of two preparations, namely, standard and test preparations of drugs, herbicides and pesticides, etc. considered ages and weights of subjects as rows and columns, respectively. Parsad *et al.* (2003) suggested the use of Bipartite rows and columns designs for testing the breaking strength of several synthetic fibers divided in two sets of treatments, while rows and columns may be machines and operators/shifts. Parsad *et al.* (2003) also suggested the use of these designs in experiments where experimental units are long lived like perennial crops or animals or in crop sequence where

two sets of treatments are applied in succession.

### REFERENCES

- Agrawal, H. L. 1966 : *Cal. Stat. Assoc. Bull.*, **15** : 93-108.
- Das, M. N., and D. K. Ghosh, 1985 : *Sankhya Bull.*, **47** : 67-77.
- Freeman, G. H. 1975 : *J. Roy. Stat. Soc.*, **37B** : 114-128.
- Gupta, V. K., and N. S. Gandhi Prasad, 1990 : *Cal. Stat. Assoc. Bull.*, **39** : 172-183.
- Gupta, V. K., and R. Parsad, 2001 : *Stat. and Appl.*, **3** : 133-146.
- Hanusz, Z. 1995 : *Biometrics.*, **51** : 1133-1139.
- Kageyama, S., and R. Mukherjee, 1986 : *Sankhya Bull.*, **48** : 380-387.
- Kageyama, S., and K. Sinha, 1988 : *Utilitas Mathematic.*, **33** : 137-162.
- Parsad, R., and V. K. Gupta, 2001 : *Ars. Combinatoria.*, **61** : 301-312.
- Parsad, R., V. K. Gupta, and N. S. G. Prasad, 2003 : *Commun. in Stat. Theory & Meth.*, **32** : 239-261.