

INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT TILLAGE AND NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON GROWTH PARAMETERS AND STOVER YIELD OF PEARL MILLET (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R.Br. EMEND STUNTZ)

PRIYANKA^{1*}, ANIL KUMAR YADAV¹ AND SONIA RANI²

¹Department of Agronomy, ²Department of Soil Science
CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125 004 (Haryana), India
*(e-mail: priyakanger@gmail.com)

(Received: 1 September 2024; Accepted: 26 September 2024)

SUMMARY

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* season of 2021 at Research Farm Area of Bajra Section, Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar to study the influence of different tillage and nutrient management practices on stover yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R.Br.). The experiment was laid out in split plot design having three replications with four main plot of tillage practices and four sub plot of nutrient management treatments. The tillage practices include CT₁-Conventional tillage (two harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS), CT₂-Conventional tillage (two harrow + one cultivator and planking + one inter culture operations by kasola at 21-28 DAS), MT₁-Minimum tillage (one harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS) and MT₂-Minimum tillage (one harrow + one cultivator and planking + one inter culture operations by kasola at 21-28 DAS) whereas, nutrient management had F₁-100% RDF, F₂-75% N through RDF + 2.5 t/ha FYM, F₃-50% N through RDF + 5.0 t/ha FYM and F₄-100% N through FYM treatments. The tillage practice CT₁-Conventional tillage (two harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS) was found significantly superior from other treatments and recorded highest plant population (136.5 000/ha), plant height (185.9 cm), leaf area (1573.6 cm²), LAI (3.50) at 40 DAS and stover yield (77.25 q/ha) whereas, among nutrient management F₂-75% N through RDF + 2.5 t ha⁻¹ FYM had the highest plant population (134.3 000/ha), plant height (186.1 cm), leaf area (1573.5 cm²), LAI (3.50) at 40 DAS and stover yield (76.44 q/ha).

Key words: Pearl millet, conventional tillage, minimum tillage, FYM and stover yield

Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R.Br.) is grown across 27 Asian countries, covering an area of 11.63 million hectares and yielding 15.74 million tonnes in 2020, according to FAO statistics. Originating in West Africa, pearl millet is primarily cultivated in the arid and semi-arid tropics of Asia and Africa. Additionally, it is being tested as a grain and forage crop in the USA, Canada, Mexico, West Asia and North Africa (WANA) and Central Asia. Pearl millet is primarily a rainfed crop in India, dependent on the monsoon season from June to September (Rai *et al.*, 2015). Pearl millet has been shown to outperform sorghum, as it can produce grains with as little as 200 mm of precipitation (Bhuja, 2009). Often referred to as the “poor man’s cereal crop” (Alam *et al.*, 2010) due to its production and consumption by the poorest communities in developing countries, pearl millet is rich in antioxidants, bioactive compounds, fiber and

essential minerals (Fe, Zn, Ca, Mg, P). Its vitamin content, lipids, and high-quality protein contribute to the balanced nutrition of consumers (Uppal *et al.*, 2015).

Tillage is the initial operation in the cultivation of arable crops, traditionally involving primary (mouldboard plough), secondary (blade harrows) and tertiary (levelling) processes. While good tillage is essential for encouraging root proliferation in heavy soils and improving pearl millet yields (Doty *et al.*, 1975), excessive tillage in light-textured sandy soils can disintegrate clods and expose the soil to erosion. Conversely, reduced tillage may lead to soil compaction in areas with low organic matter, negatively impacting crop performance (Gupta *et al.*, 1983), despite being more economical in terms of time, labor and energy. Pearl millet is a highly efficient nutrient remover from the soil, as evidenced by the fact that each ton of

grain produced extracts approximately 31.8–17.4–61.3 kg ha⁻¹ of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P₂O₅), and potassium (K₂O), respectively, along with 40–170–20–8 g ha⁻¹ of zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), and copper (Cu) (Tandon, 2004). The use of manures and to some extent, NP fertilizers helps partially meet the nutrient needs of pearl millet. Manuring through farm yard manure (FYM) is the predominant way of pearl millet crop nutrition in arid and semi-arid rainfed production systems by farmers. Thus, optimum tillage operations, when combined with effective fertilizer management, are crucial not only for enhancing crop productivity but also for maintaining soil health and ensuring long-term sustainability (Maruthi Sankar *et al.*, 2006; Nema *et al.*, 2008). Keeping the above facts in view, a field study was conducted with different tillage and nutrient management practices to evaluate their effect on plant height and stover yield of the pearl millet crop.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The experiment was carried out at Research Farm Area of Bajra Section, Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during 2021 in a split plot design with three replications. The experiment site, located in Haryana's central plains within India's Trans-Gangetic plains agro-climatic zone, has a tropical steppe and semi-arid climate. This climate features extremely hot, dry summers from April to June, transitioning to hot and humid conditions from July to September. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, medium in organic carbon, low in available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and potassium. After the monsoon rains, the experimental field was ploughed using a tractor-drawn disc harrow. Two rounds of harrowing were carried out for conventional tillage, while only one round was done for minimum tillage. This was followed by cultivating the field to incorporate chipped crop residues and weeds, which helped break up clods and achieve good soil tilth, creating a finely pulverized seedbed ready for sowing during the 29th standard meteorological week. Two conventional tillage treatments with CT₁- two harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS, CT₂- two harrow + one cultivator and planking + one inter culture operations by kasola at 21-28 DAS and two minimum tillage with MT₁-one harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35

DAS, MT₂- one harrow + one cultivator and planking + one inter culture operations by kasola at 21-28 DAS were given under tillage practices. Among nutrient supply, F₁-100% RDF, F₂-75% N through RDF + 2.5 t ha⁻¹ FYM, F₃-50% N through RDF + 5.0 t ha⁻¹ FYM and F₄-100% N through FYM treatments were applied. The crop was fertilized with the recommended dose of fertilizer *i.e.* 40 kg N ha⁻¹ + 20 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ at the time of sowing and the remaining half dose of the nitrogen was applied 3-4 weeks after sowing and after thinning and gap filling. Additionally, a specific quantity of well-decomposed farmyard manure (FYM) was applied to the designated treatment plots prior to sowing the crop. This manure was thoroughly incorporated into the soil to ensure proper nutrient distribution and to enhance soil fertility. The data recorded on plant population (000 ha⁻¹), plant height (cm), leaf area (cm²), Leaf area Index (LAI) and stover yield (q ha⁻¹) were subjected to statistical analysis and the mean differences were evaluated by critical difference (C.D.) test at 5% level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect on plant population (000/ha)

The perusal of data in Table 1 depicted that the plant population during *kharif* season of 2021 at 20 days after sowing (DAS) and maturity differed significantly under different tillage practices but did not under nutrient management. However, the plant stand was more at 20 DAS as compared to maturity stage. The maximum plant population (136.5 and 133.9 000/ha) was recorded with tillage practice CT₁ (two harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS) at 20 DAS and harvest and remained significantly superior over all other treatments whereas, the minimum plant population (130.8 and 127.9 000/ha) was recorded with MT₂ (one harrow + one cultivator and planking + one inter culture operations by kasola at 21-28 DAS).

Among nutrient management, F₂ (75% N through RDF + 2.5 t/ha FYM) recorded the maximum plant population of 134.3 and 131.3 000/ha at both the stages as compared to F₁ (100% RDF), F₃ (50% N through RDF + 5.0 t/ha FYM) and F₄ (100% N through FYM).

Effect on plant height (cm)

The significant difference was observed

TABLE 1
Effect of different tillage and nutrient management practices
on plant population of pearl millet

Treatment	Plant population (000/ha)	
	20 DAS	At maturity
Tillage practices		
CT ₁	136.5	133.9
CT ₂	134.7	132.0
MT ₁	133.5	130.6
MT ₂	130.8	127.9
S. Em±	0.52	0.40
C. D. (P=0.05)	1.85	1.41
Nutrient management		
F ₁	133.5	130.7
F ₂	134.3	131.3
F ₃	133.6	131.1
F ₄	134.1	131.2
S. Em±	0.47	0.62
C. D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS

among different treatments of tillage and nutrient management regarding plant height at 20, 40, 60 DAS and maturity (Table 2). The result of the data showed that there was continuous increase in plant height as the plant reached towards maturity stage. The maximum plant height (37.5, 133.4, 175.4 and 185.9 cm) at all the growth stages were recorded with CT₁ (two harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS) and remained at par with MT₁ (one harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS). The reason for this might be due to that the tillage operations conducted prior to sowing effectively loosened the soil more than the minimum tillage practice. This improved soil structure likely facilitated better percolation and water storage in the root zone and ultimately increases plant height as suggested by Kovac *et al.* (2005) and Reddy and Reddy (2010). Among nutrient management, F₂ (75% N through RDF + 2.5 t ha⁻¹ FYM) produced significantly taller plants (36.1, 132.4, 175.6 and 186.1 cm) in comparison to other treatments whereas, shortest plants (28.5, 123.9, 167.2 and 177.5 cm) were recorded in F₄ (100% N through FYM) at all the growth stages. The combination of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers improved soil aeration which enhanced root proliferation and increased microbial activity. This, in turn, boosted the availability and uptake of essential nutrients for plant growth and development. These results are further supported by the findings of Singh *et al.* (2003). A critical observation of the data revealed the fact that

TABLE 2
Effect of different tillage and nutrient management practices
on plant height (cm) of pearl millet

Treatment	Plant height (cm)			
	20 DAS	40 DAS	60 DAS	Maturity
Tillage practices				
CT ₁	37.5	133.4	175.4	185.9
CT ₂	31.1	127.5	171.0	181.5
MT ₁	33.4	129.6	172.2	182.7
MT ₂	25.6	119.7	164.7	174.8
S. Em±	1.23	1.29	1.49	1.99
C. D. (P=0.05)	4.3	4.6	5.2	7.0
Nutrient management				
F ₁	32.3	128.3	171.7	181.9
F ₂	36.1	132.4	175.6	186.1
F ₃	30.8	125.5	168.8	179.3
F ₄	28.5	123.9	167.2	177.5
S. Em±	0.76	0.90	0.90	0.91
C. D. (P=0.05)	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.7

integrated application of both organic and inorganic sources of nutrients were better as compared to sole application of inorganic and organic sources. This might be attributed to better nutrition to crops due to mineralization of FYM and solubilisation of nutrients from the native sources during the process of decomposition (Kumar and Kumar, 2017). This may be ascribed to the manurial capacity of supplying essential major plant nutrients *viz.* N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S; necessary for plant growth, as well as micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn).

The free circulation of oxygen and water in the soil resulting from pulverization (due to tillage) results in increased biological activities in the soil, including that of organism that fix atmospheric nitrogen (Rashidi and Abbassi, 2010). Thus, a biological environment of the soil that is able to turn over soil nitrogen at the rates commensurate with crop requirement and efficient physiological processes inside the plant, so obtained by different tillage practices, ultimately reflected in the increased plant growth. Because, nitrogen is considered as a growth element, thus improved meristematic activities coupled with sufficient availability of photosynthates on account of efficient physiological processes altogether led to increased growth and its attributes. Similar effects of tillage practices on growth of pearl millet crop have also been reported by Usman *et al.* (2014).

Effect on leaf area (cm²)

The data on leaf area per plant (Table 3) at

various growth stages indicates that the leaf area increased most rapidly between 20 and 40 days after sowing (DAS), with a slowdown observed from 60 DAS to maturity. In terms of tillage practices, the treatment CT₁ (two harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter-culture operations at 15-20 and 30-35 DAS) yielded the highest leaf area at all stages measured: 549 cm² at 20 DAS, 1574 cm² at 40 DAS, 1177 cm² at 60 DAS, and 685 cm² at harvest. In contrast, treatment MT₂ (one harrow + one cultivator and planking + one inter-culture operation at 21-28 DAS) produced lower values: 533 cm², 1553 cm², 1157 cm² and 665 cm², respectively. Notably, CT₁ performance at 40 DAS was statistically comparable to MT₁ (one harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter-culture operations at 15-20 and 30-35 DAS) but significantly better than CT₂ and MT₂. The highest values of leaf area were recorded at 40 DAS and thereafter a decline in leaf area was recorded up to harvesting. The decline in leaf area at later crop growth stages could be attributed to leaf senescence as crop advanced toward maturity.

TABLE 3
Effect of different tillage and nutrient management practices on leaf area (cm²) of pearl millet

Treatment	Leaf area (cm ²)			
	20 DAS	40 DAS	60 DAS	Maturity
Tillage practices				
CT ₁	549	1574	1177	685
CT ₂	540	1566	1167	677
MT ₁	543	1569	1171	679
MT ₂	533	1553	1157	665
S. Em±	1.8	1.9	1.5	1.5
C. D. (P=0.05)	6.5	6.6	5.3	5.1
Nutrient management				
F ₁	541	1567	1170	679
F ₂	548	1573	1175	683
F ₃	539	1563	1165	674
F ₄	536	1559	1162	671
S. Em±	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.6
C. D. (P=0.05)	6.0	4.3	4.5	4.7

Regarding nutrient application, the treatment F₂ (75% N through RDF + 2.5 t/ha FYM) outperformed other treatments with leaf areas of 548 cm², 1573 cm², 1175 cm² and 683 cm² at the respective growth stages. Conversely, F₄ (100% N through FYM) exhibited the lowest leaf area values: 536 cm², 1559 cm², 1162 cm² and 671 cm². This suggests that both tillage practices and nutrient management significantly influence leaf area development in pearl millet crop.

Effect on leaf area index

Leaf Area Index indicated greenness and photosynthetic efficiency of crop. It followed the same trend as followed by the leaf area. The data presented in Table 4 indicated that treatment CT₁ (two harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS) showed significantly better leaf area index than other treatments at all stages of crop growth among different tillage practices. At 20 DAS, CT₁ (1.22) had the maximum LAI. At 40 DAS, the maximum LAI was recorded in treatment CT₁ (3.50) followed by MT₁ (one harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS) (3.49) whereas, MT₂ (one harrow + one cultivator and planking + one inter culture operations by kasola at 21-28 DAS) recorded the lowest LAI (3.45). LAI at 60 DAS was also recorded highest in treatment CT₁ (2.62) which was notably higher over MT₂ (2.57). The maximum LAI at harvesting was found in treatment CT₁ (1.52) being quite superior over all other treatments.

TABLE 4
Effect of different tillage and nutrient management practices on leaf area index of pearl millet

Treatment	Leaf Area Index			
	20 DAS	40 DAS	60 DAS	Maturity
Tillage practices				
CT ₁	1.22	3.50	2.62	1.52
CT ₂	1.20	3.48	2.59	1.50
MT ₁	1.21	3.49	2.60	1.51
MT ₂	1.18	3.45	2.57	1.48
S. Em±	0.004	0.005	0.003	0.003
C. D. (P=0.05)	0.015	0.016	0.011	0.010
Nutrient management				
F ₁	1.20	3.48	2.60	1.51
F ₂	1.22	3.50	2.61	1.52
F ₃	1.20	3.47	2.59	1.50
F ₄	1.19	3.46	2.58	1.49
S. Em±	0.005	0.003	0.004	0.004
C. D. (P=0.05)	0.014	0.010	0.011	0.011

Among nutrient management practices, LAI was significantly higher in F₂ (75% N through RDF + 2.5 t/ha FYM) treatment (1.22 and 3.50, respectively) at 20 DAS and 40 DAS over other treatments and the similar trend was recorded at 60 DAS whereas, the lower LAI (1.19, 3.46 and 2.58) was recorded in treatment F₄ (100% N through FYM) at 20, 40 and 60 DAS. At the harvest, F₂ exhibited statistically higher value of LAI (1.52) whereas, the lower value was in

TABLE 5
Effect of different tillage and nutrient management practices on stover yield (q/ha) of pearl millet

Treatment		Stover yield (q/ha)
Tillage Practices	Nutrient Supply	
CT ₁ - Conventional tillage (two harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS)	F ₁ -100% RDF	77.56
	F ₂ -75% N through RDF + 2.5 t/ha FYM	83.52
	F ₃ -50% N through RDF + 5.0 t/ha FYM	73.40
	F ₄ -100% N through FYM	74.53
	Mean	77.25
CT ₂ - Conventional tillage (two harrow + one cultivator and planking + one inter culture operations by kasola at 21-28 DAS)	F ₁ -100% RDF	73.32
	F ₂ -75% N through RDF + 2.5 t/ha FYM	74.25
	F ₃ -50% N through RDF + 5.0 t/ha FYM	70.70
	F ₄ -100% N through FYM	73.61
	Mean	72.97
MT ₁ -Minimum tillage (one harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS)	F ₁ -100% RDF	74.72
	F ₂ -75% N through RDF + 2.5 t/ha FYM	78.49
	F ₃ -50% N through RDF + 5.0 t/ha FYM	75.45
	F ₄ -100% N through FYM	75.42
	Mean	76.02
MT ₂ -Minimum tillage (one harrow + one cultivator and planking + one inter culture operations by kasola 21-28 DAS)	F ₁ -100% RDF	67.70
	F ₂ -75% N through RDF + 2.5 t/ha FYM	69.51
	F ₃ -50% N through RDF + 5.0 t/ha FYM	66.59
	F ₄ -100% N through FYM	67.96
	Mean	67.94
Nutrient management	S. Em±	1.37
	C. D. (P=0.05)	4.83
	F ₁ -100% RDF	73.32
	F ₂ -75% N through RDF + 2.5 t/ha FYM	76.44
	F ₃ -50% N through RDF + 5.0 t/ha FYM	71.54
	F ₄ -100% N through FYM	72.88
	S. Em±	1.05
	C. D. (P=0.05)	3.10

F₄ (1.49) (Table 4). The decline in leaf area index as crop advanced toward maturity could be due to senescence of leaves. This could be due to the degree and size of canopy which is a key factor of solar radiation interception, determining photosynthetic activity and net photosynthesis of plant. Similar observations on LAI were reported by Chongre *et al.* (2019) in green gram and Priya and Sathyamoorthi (2019) in foxtail millet.

Effect on stover yield (q/ha)

The stover yield as influenced by tillage and nutrient management practices is presented in Table 2. The data pertaining to stover yield revealed that treatment CT₁ (two harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS) recorded significantly higher value of stover yield (77.25 q/ha) and remained at par with MT₁ (one harrow + one cultivator and planking + two inter culture operations by kasola at 15-20 & 30-35 DAS). Vittal *et al.* (1983) reported that deep tillage improved yield and yield-attributing traits by enhancing soil profile recharge and promoting better rooting depth in Alfisols and thus,

increases yield in pearl millet. Among, nutrient management, F₂ (75% N through RDF + 2.5 t ha⁻¹ FYM) had the highest stover yield (76.44 q ha⁻¹) and statistical superior over other treatments. F₄ (100% N through FYM) recorded the lowest stover yield (72.88 q/ha). The application of nitrogen along with organic manure can help maintain higher levels of soil organic carbon which enhances total microbial biomass activity and ultimately contributes to higher soil microbial biomass carbon, which resulted in increase in yield and yield attributing characters. These results are in accordance with that of Agarwal and Kumar (1996). The increase in the stover yield by conventional tillage may be due to better root growth, infiltration of water, increase in physico-chemical and biological properties of soil, enhanced growth parameters and plant biomass with efficient and greater partitioning of metabolites towards reproductive structures. These findings are in consonance with those of Javeed *et al.* (2013), Omandi *et al.* (2014) and Kumar *et al.* (2018). Beneficial effects of tillage in terms of mineralisation of nutrients and suppressing weed growth may also have positively impacted on stover yield.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of the data, it can be concluded that conventional tillage practice with two intercultural operations at 15-20 and 30-35 DAS among tillage practices and 75% N through RDF + 2.5 t/ha FYM among nutrient management were effective in ensuring highest plant population, plant height, leaf area per plant, leaf area index and maximum stover yield in pearl millet.

REFERENCES

- Agarwal, R.K. and P. Kumar, 1996 : Integrated use of farm yard manure and fertilizer N for sustained yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) in an arid region. *Annals of Arid Zone*, **35**: 29-35.
- Alam, M.K., Y. Ogata, and Y. Sako, 2010 : Intermediary metabolism of plasma acetic acid, glucose and protein in sheep fed a rice straw based diet. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, **23**(10): 1333-1339.
- Bhuja, P., 2009 : Identifikasi dan Karakterisasi Tampilan Agronomis Jewawut Lokal Nusa Tenggara Timur: Upaya Merevitalisasi Keragaman Ketersediaan Pangan Nasional. <http://www.goole.co.id>.
- Chongre, S., R. Mondal, S. Biswas, A. Munshi, R. Mondal, and M. Pramanick, 2019 : Effect of liquid manure on growth and yield of summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, **38**(6): 1-7.
- Doty, C.W., R.B. Campbell and D.C. Reicosky, 1975 : Crop response to chiseling and irrigation in soils with a compact A-2 horizon. *American Society of Agricultural Engineers*, **18**: 668-672.
- Gupta, J.P., R.K. Aggarwal and G.N. Gupta, 1983 : Effect of continuous application of farm yard manure and urea on soil properties and production of pearl millet in western Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*, **53**:53-56.
- Javeed, H.M.R., M.S.I. Zamir, M.A. Tanveer and M. Yaseen, 2013 : Influence of tillage and poultry manure, on the physical properties of grain and yield attributes of spring maize. *West African Journal of Applied Ecology*, **21**(1): 59-67.
- Kovac, K., M. Macák and M. Svancarkova, 2005 : The effect of soil conservation tillage on soil moisture dynamics under single cropping and crop rotation. *Plant Soil Environment*, **51**(3): 124-130.
- Kumar, A. and M. Kumar, 2017 : Performance of integrated nutrient management on nutrient uptake and productivity in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) - wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cropping system. *International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research*, **6**(1): 122-127.
- Kumar, S., Vishwakarma, Diksha, Lenka, Sangeeta and R.C. Jain, 2018 : Effect of conservation tillage and organics and inorganics on soil fertility in different aggregate size classes. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, **20**(2): 168-171.
- Maruthi Sankar, G. R., K. P. R. Vittal, Ravindra, G. Chary, Y. S. Ramakrishna and A. Girija, 2006 : Sustainability of tillage practices for rainfed crops under different soil and climatic situations in India. *Indian Journal of Dryland Agricultural Research and Development*, **21**: 60-73.
- Nema, A.K., G.R. Maruthi Sankar and S.P.S. Chauhan, 2008 : Selection of superior tillage and fertilizer practices based on rainfall and soil moisture effects on pearl millet yield under semi-arid inceptisol. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, **134**: 361-371.
- Omandi, J.O., H.W. Nancy, O.P. Josepine and B.P. Fredrick, 2014 : Effect of tillage on biological nitrogen fixation and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) varieties. *African Crop Science*, **8**(8): 1140-1146.
- Priya, G. and K. Sathyamoorthi, 2019 : Influence of organic manures on the growth and yield of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv). *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, **8**(29): 114-117.
- Rai, K.N., S.K. Gupta and M. Govindaraj, 2015 : Pearl millet improvement for enhanced productivity -strategies and impact. *Indian Farming*, **65**: 19-22.
- Rashidi, M. and S. Abbassi, 2010 : Effect of different tillage methods on root yield and yield components of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*). *American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, **4**(3): 397-402.
- Reddy, T.Y. and G.H.S. Reddy, 2010 : Principles of Agronomy. Kalyani Publishers. pp. 141-143.
- Singh, R., D.P. Singh and P.K. Tyagi, 2003 : Effect of azotobacter, farmyard manure and nitrogen fertilization on productivity of pearl millet hybrids (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L) R.Br.) in semi-arid tropical environment. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, **49**(1): 21-24.
- Tandon, H.L.S., 2004 : Fertilizers in Indian agriculture from 20th to 21st century. Fertiliser Development and Consultation Organisation.
- Uppal, R.K., S.P. Wani, and K.K. Garg, 2015 : Balanced nutrition increases yield of pearl millet under drought. *Field Crop Research*, **177**: 86-97.
- Usman, Y. M., M. Hussaini, B. Musami and B. Sheriff, 2014 : Effect of different tillage methods on the growth and yield of pearl millet under rainfed conditions. *The International Journal of Science and Technology*, **2**(11): 62-67.
- Vittal, K.P.R., K. Vijayalakshmi and U.M.B. Rao, 1983 : Effect of deep tillage on dryland crop production in red soils in India. *Soil and Tillage Research*, **3**: 377-384.