# RESPONSES OF FODDER OAT (AVENA SATIVA L.) VARIETIES TO IRRIGATION AND FERTILIZER GRADIENT IN BUNDELKHAND REGION (U.P.) INDIA

#### NEEL RATAN1, MILAN YADAV2 AND U. N. SINGH\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany,
D.V. (P.G.) College, Orai-285001 (U.P.) India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany,
Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya,
Satna-485 780 (M. P.) India

\*(e-mail: drunsingh@rediffmail.com)

(Received: 5 August 2018; Accepted: 24 September 2018)

#### **SUMMARY**

An experiment was conducted during 2014-16 at Orai (Jalaun), Uttar Pradesh to study the performance of fodder oat (*Avena sativa* L.) varieties under varied irrigation and fertilizer rates. The experiment was consisted of 18 treatment combinations viz., 2 fodder oat variety (Kent and JHO-851), 3 irrigation schedules (0.8, 1.0 and 1.2 IW/CPE) and 3 fertilizer levels (75, 100 and 125% of recommended dose of fertilizer-RDF). Result showed that varities of oat did not differ significantly in relation to growth, yield and water use efficiency, but JHO-851 accumulated higher crude protein than Kent. The significant response of growth, dry fodder yield and nutrient uptake to irrigation was observed upto 1.0 IW/CPE and it increased green and dry fodder yields by 11.8 and 7.3% respectively over 0.8 IW/CPE. Likewise, application of 125% of RDF increased green and dry fodder yields by 17.7 and 10.4%, over 100%, respectively. Water use efficiency was increased with fertilizer and vice-versa with irrigation intensity. Apparent nutrient (N, P and K) balances were decreased with irrigation and increased with graded fertilizer application except potassium. Nitrogen and potassium apparent balances were negative. The maximum economic returns were obtained when crop was fertilized with 125% of RDF and irrigation scheduled at 1.0 IW/CPE.

**Key words :** Fertilizer, fodder yield, irrigation scheduling, nutrient balance, oat varieties, water use efficiency

Oat (Avena sativa L.) is a fast growing and high yielding winter fodder crop. It is highly palatable, nutritious and energy rich fodder that and can be fed to animals either in the form of green fodder or after converting into good quality hay/ silage. Besides, it possesses high regeneration ability, it requires a large quantity of fertilizers for enhancing production of quality herbage (Singh and Dubey, 2007). Many varieties of oat have been developed which differ in input responses. Nutrient and water are the major inputs that influence the fodder yield and quality. High dose of chemical fertilizers to fodder crop can raise the possibilities of nitrate hazards to livestock as well as ground water pollution. At the same time, low priority to fodder crops, rise in fertilizer prices and their short supply at peak growing period limits the use of chemical fertilizers in forages. Water is an important input for realizing high crop productivity, however,

it is becoming the most limiting factor for crop production in most of the parts of India. Therefore, it is essential to improve irrigation water productivity and decrease irrigation demand while maintaining the crop productivity. Limited quantity of water available for irrigation calls for scheduling of irrigation to improve water productivity of oat. It is suggested a modified meteorological approach based on the ratio between irrigation water (IW) and cumulative pan evaporation (CPE) as a practical guide for scheduling irrigation to crop. Oat irrigated at 0.8 and 1.0 IW/CPE ratios gave higher green fodder yield than its lower level (Lal and Shukla, 1987). The agronomic information regarding suitability of varieties and their responses to nutrient and water is lacking in Bundelkhand part of India. Hence the present investigation was undertaken to identify nutrient and moisture regimes for oat varieties.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Experimental site and designing

Field experiments (2014-16) were carried out at Govt. Agriculture Farm, Bohadpura (Jalaun), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh (25° 59' N latitude, 79° 37' E longitude and 141.6 m above mean sea level). The area has a continental monsoon climate with long term average annual rainfall of 908 mm received mostly during June to September. The total rainfall received during crop growing season was 40 and 46 mm in 2014-15 and 2015-16, respectively. The study area was characterized by dry sub-humid climate, with extreme temperature during summer (43 to 46° C) and winter (as low as 5°C). The soil was gravelly sandy loam in texture with 6.8 pH and 0.21 dS/m electrical conductivity. It recorded 4.30 g/kg of organic carbon, 192.2 kg/ha of available N, 14.3 kg/ha of available P and 293.6 kg/ha of available K in the top 15 cm soil at start of experiment.

The experiment was laid out in split-plot design with 3 replications, comprising 2 varieties of oat (Kent and JHO- 851) and 3 irrigation schedules (0.8, 1.0 and 1.2 IW/CPE) in main-plots and 3 fertilizer levels (75, 100 and 125% RDF) in sub-plots. The recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) for oat was 90-40-40 kg N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O/ha. Entire phosphorus (P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and potassium (K<sub>2</sub>O) and half dose of nitrogen (N) were applied as basal at the time of sowing, whereas remaining nitrogen was applied during first irrigation. Oat was sown in lines 25 cm apart on 30 and 17 November in 2014 and 2015, respectively using a seed rate of 100 kg/ha. A buffer channel of 1.0 m width was provided on side of plots to avoid seepage effects. At each irrigation, 50 mm depth of water was applied as per treatment on the basis of evaporation from USWB Open Pan Evaporimeter located in Meteorological Observatory, GIC, Orai (Jalaun).

## Methods of analysis

Oat crop was harvested at 50% flowering stage and weighed for green fodder yield. Random chopped samples of green fodder was sun dried and placed in the oven at 65 °C for 72 hours to estimate dry matter percentage and then it was multiplied with respective green fodder yield to calculate dry fodder yield. Oven dried samples were kept for nutrient content. N concentration in plant samples was estimated by modified Kjeldhal method, P concentration by Vanado-molybdo-phosphoric yellow

colour method and K concentration by Flame photometer method as per the procedure described by Jackson (1973) and uptake was obtained as product of concentration of dry fodder yield. Crude protein content expressed as N x 6.25 and crude protein yield was calculated by multiplying crude protein content with dry fodder yield. Water-use efficiency (WUE) was calculated using following formula:

Water use efficiency (kg DM/ha-mm) =

Dry fodder yield of oat (kg/ha)

Consumptive use (mm)

Consumptive use of water was worked out by using the formula suggested by Dastane (1972). Apparent nutrient (N, P and K) balance was estimated as the difference between nutrient added through fertilizers and nutrient removed by crop as suggested by Liu *et al.* (2003). The economics of the treatment was calculated based on prevailing prices of input and output. Benefit: cost ratio was calculated by dividing net return with cost of cultivation. The package SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) was used to analyses the data.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# Growth and yield

Oat varieties were statistically similar in producing tillers and plant of identical height, while plants with higher leaf: stem ratio were recorded in JHO-851 (Table 1). Significantly taller plants (146.1 cm) with more number of tillers (76) and leaf: stem ratio (0.53) were observed in the plot when irrigation was scheduled at 1.0 IW/CPE. Further increase the intensity of irrigation failed to exert any significant effect on these parameters. Similarly, these parameters were responded to fertilizers upto 100% of RDF level except leaf: stem ratio.

Green as well as dry fodder yield of oat were influenced significantly with irrigation and fertilizer levels (Table 1). Both the varieties of oat were at par in producing fodder yield. Similar result was also reported by Palsaniya *et al.* (2015). As irrigation intensity was increased, the dry and green fodder yields were increased. The significant response of green and dry fodder yields to irrigation were found upto 1.2 and 1.0 IW/CPE, respectively. The magnitudes of increase in green and dry fodder yields under 1.2 IW/

TABLE 1
Effect of irrigation schedules and fertilizer levels on growth, fodder yield and water use efficiency of oat varieties (pool data of two years)

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Tillers/metre row length	Leaf : stem ratio	Green Fodder yield (t/ha)	Dry fodder yield (t/ha)	WUE (kg DM/ ha-mm)
Variety						
Kent	143.6	71	0.50	39.18	6.61	38.2
JHO-851	146.1	74	0.54	40.48	7.02	39.2
SEm±	1.5	1.68	0.01	0.32	0.07	0.5
CD (P<0.05)	NS	NS	0.02	NS	NS	NS
<b>Irrigation Sched</b>	lule					
0.8 IW/CPE	139.6	63	0.48	36.16	6.33	41.3
1.0 IW/CPE	146.0	76	0.53	40.57	7.01	39.0
1.2 IW/CPE	148.8	78	0.55	42.77	7.21	36.7
SEm±	1.9	1.06	0.01	0.51	0.10	0.5
CD (P<0.05)	6.1	6.52	0.03	1.61	0.30	1.7
Fertilizer level						
75% RDF	138.9	66	0.50	36.22	6.28	35.8
100% RDF	146.3	75	0.52	40.42	7.02	39.3
125% RDF	149.3	77	0.54	42.84	7.44	41.0
SEm±	1.7	1.69	0.01	0.37	0.07	0.5
CD (P<0.05)	5.2	5.11	NS	1.08	0.22	1.5

RDF: Recommended dose of fertilizer; WUE: Water-use efficiency; DM: Dry matter.

CPE were 15.04 and 9.40%, respectively over 0.8 IW/CPE. Likewise, graded application of fertilizers from 75 to 125% of RDF improved green and dry fodder yields of oat by 15.45 and 18.4%, respectively. The improvement in the fodder yield could be attributed to improved growth parameters viz., plant height and tiller number. These results were in conformity with those of Jehangir *et al.* (2013).

Fertilizer application amplified the irrigation effect and vice-versa (Fig. 1). The maximum green fodder yield (48.48 t/ha) was recorded under 125% of RDF coupled with irrigation at 1.2 IW/CPE. Furthermore, the yield response to fertilizer was lower under low irrigation intensity. This confirmed the positive effect of adequate soil water on nutrients availability and the capacity that the plant had for a simultaneous uptake of water and nutrients leading to their more effective use when both were at a satisfactory level. It indicated that as application of fertilizers increased the requirement of irrigation water also increased. Mandal et al. (2006) reported a greater yield response with fertilizer application under adequate soil water conditions and a lower one under deficit water conditions in Central India.

## Water-use efficiency

The water-use efficiency (WUE) was

decreased significantly with the increase of irrigation intensity (Table 1). The highest water-use efficiency (41.3 kg DM/ha-mm) was attained when irrigation was scheduled at 0.8 IW/CPE and lowest under 1.2 IW/CPE. In contrast, water use efficiency was increased with fertilizer levels and maximum value (41.0 kg DM/ha-mm) was found in 125% of RDF. Ram *et al.* (2013) and Singh *et al.* (2015) also reported a decrease in WUE with an increase in irrigation levels due to proportionately diminishing rate of increase in dry fodder yield with increase in evapotranspiration.

#### Nutrient uptake and apparent balance

Nitrogen uptake by fodder oat variety JHO-851 was 10.9 kg more in comparison to Kent while P and K removal were statistically similar in both the varieties of oat (Table 2). Among the irrigation schedules, maximum uptake of N (107.3 kg/ha) and K (108.3 kg/ha) was recorded under 1.2 IW/CPE but at par with 1.0 IW/CPE. Phosphorus uptake was not influenced significantly with the irrigation schedules. Graded application of fertilizer significantly increased N, P and K uptake and maximum uptake of these nutrients were registered under 125% of RDF which was 26.41, 23.21 and 19.02% higher over 75% of RDF, respectively. The increase in nutrient uptake with irrigation and fertilizer levels might be due to higher

TABLE 2
Effect of irrigation schedules and fertilizer levels on nutrient uptake, crude protein and economics of oat varieties (pooled data of
2 years)

Treatments	Nutrient uptake (kg/ha)			CP content %	CP Yield (kg/ha)	Net returns (ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Benefit :
	N	P	K	70	(Rg/Hu)	(1111 )	2031
Variety							
Kent	96.1	14.8	102.3	8.41	602	16490	1.03
JHO-851	107.0	15.1	105.5	9.07	670	17534	1.10
SEm±	2.00	0.26	1.040	0.11	121.37		
CD (P<0.05)	6.18	NS	NS	0.33	38.20		
Irrigation Schedule							
0.8 IW/CPE	94.6	14.3	98.4	9.04	593	14333	0.91
1.0 IW/CPE	102.6	15.4	105.0	9.14	643	17863	1.14
1.2 IW/CPE	107.3	15.2	108.3	9.37	672	18839	1.14
SEm±	2.33	0.31	1.27	0.13	14.17		
CD (P<0.05)	7.60	NS	4.03	NS	47.03		
Fertilizer level							
75% RDF	86.4	12.9	92.4	8.21	542	14783	0.97
100% RDF	103.3	15.2	105.3	9.01	647	17487	1.10
125% RDF	114.7	16.8	114.1	9.44	719	18765	1.12
SEm±	1.41	0.28	1.40	0.11	9.40		
CD (P<0.05)	4.33	0.83	4.11	0.32	26.63		

RDF: Recommended dose of fertilizer; CP: Crude protein.

nutrient content and fodder yield. Similar result was also reported by Jat *et al.* (2013).

Apparent N and K balance was found negative while P balance was positive under all the treatments except 75% of RDF (Fig. 2). More negative apparent N balance was observed in JHO-851 (-18.0 kg/ha) than Kent (-7.1 kg/ha) variety of fodder oat. In general, apparent nutrient balance was decreased with increasing irrigation intensity and vice-versa with fertilizer levels except apparent K balance. It was decreased even with the application of fertilizers. Maximum apparent N and P balance were found under 125% of RDF which was 16.7 and 4.9 kg/ha higher




Fig. 1. Interaction effect of irrigation schedules and fertilizer levels on green.




Fig. 2. Effect of irrigation scheduling and fertilizer levels in different oat varieties on apparent nutrient balance.

over 75% of RDF, respectively. In contrast, higher apparent K balance was associated with 75% of RDF. The negative N and K apparent balance might be due to continuous crop mining of N and K coupled with inadequate replenishment (Rafique *et al.* 2012). More negative N and K apparent balance with high irrigation intensity and fertilizer dose was probably due to major increase in crop biomass, leading to export of greater amount of nutrients from soil.

## Crude protein

Crude protein (CP) yield was higher in JHO-851 (670 kg/ha) in comparison to Kent (602 kg/ha). Among the irrigation schedules, 1.2 IW/CPE produced 11.75% higher CP yield over 0.8 IW/CPE, but it was

statistically at par with 1.0 IW/CPE. The increase in crude protein yield with increasing IW/CPE ratio was due to favourable soil moisture for uptake of native and applied nutrients. Gangaiah (2005) observed a similar increase in crude protein yield due to increase in levels of irrigation.

Successive increase in the fertilizers level also improved CP content and CP yield (Table 2). Furthermore, application of 125% of RDF increased CP yield by 32.65% and 11.13% over 75% and 100% of RDF, respectively. The improvement in crude protein content with increasing fertilizer levels was probably due to enhancement in amino acid formation. Higher crude protein yield mainly owed to increase in fodder yield as well as N content under improved nutrition. Higher CP content and CP yield with increasing fertilizer levels was also observed in pearl millet (Choudhary and Prabhu, 2014).

#### **Economics**

Economic analysis showed that the highest net returns (18839 Rs/ha) and B:C ratio (1.14) was realized when irrigation was scheduled at 1.2 IW/CPE which was very close to 1.0 IW/CPE (Table 2). As irrigation intensity was increased from 0.8 to 1.0 and 1.0 to 1.2 IW/CPE, the increase in net returns were 3530 and 976/ha, respectively. Similarly, net returns and B:C ratio were increased with graded application of fertilizers and found maximum under 125% of RDF. The higher net returns ratio might be due to more returns from higher yield, as compared to cost involved under these treatments.

#### **CONCLUSION**

It was concluded that 125% of recommended dose of fertilizer application (112-50-50 kg N- $P_2O_2$ - $K_2O/ha$ ) and irrigation scheduled at 1.0 IW/CPE in fodder oat varieties increased fodder productivity, profitability and nutrient uptake, but decreased wateruse efficiency and apparent nutrient balances.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The Authors thank to the Principal, D.V. Postgraduate College, Orai-285001 (U.P.) for providing laboratory facilities and encouragement to carry out the present study. Help provided by the local administrators and people during the investigation period is highly acknowledged.

## REFERENCES

Choudhary, M., and G. Prabhu, 2014: Quality fodder production and economics of dual-purpose pearl

- millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) under different levels and nitrogen scheduling. *Ind. J. Agron.* **59**: 410-414
- Dastane, N.G. 1972: A Practical Manual for Water Use Research in Agriculture. Navbharat Prakashan, Pune.
- Gangaiah, B. 2005: Response of oat (*Avena sativa*) varieties to irrigation schedules. *Ind. J. Agron.* **50**: 165-166
- Jackson, M. L. 1973: Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall Inc., Englewood, Cliffs, USA.
- Jat, M. K., H.S. Purohit, Bahadur Singh, R. S. Garhwat, and M. Choudhary, 2013: Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient uptake in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor). Ind. J. Agron. 58: 543-547.
- Jehangir, L A., H.U. Khan, T. Mubarak, S. Sheraz Mahdi, and Faisal-Ur-Rasool, 2013: Productivity of fodder oat (*Avena sativa*) under different sowing times, fertility levels and cutting management in temperate environment. *Ind. J. Agronomy* **58**: 603-606.
- Lal, M., and N. P. Shukla, 1987: Studies on irrigation scheduling in mixed stands of forage oat and legumes. *Ind. J. Agron.* **32**: 21-23.
- Liu, X. J., J. C. Wang, S. H. Lu, F. S. Zhang, X.Z. Zeng, Y.W. Ai, S.B. Peng, and P. Christie, 2003: Effects of non-flooded mulching cultivation on crop yield, nutrient uptake and nutrient balance in rice-wheat cropping systems. *Field Crops Res.* **83**: 297-311.
- Mandal, K. G., K. M. Hati, A. K. Misra, and K. K. Bandyopadhyay, 2006: Assessment of irrigation and nutrient effects on growth, yield and water use efficiency of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in central India. *Agric. Water Manage.* **85**: 279-286
- Palsaniya, D. R., T. Kiran Kumar, G. Prabhu, A. K. Dixit, A. K. Rai, and S. Kumar, 2015: Weed dynamics in fodder oat (*Avena sativa* L.) genotypes. *Range Management and Agroforestry* **36**: 107-108.
- Rafique, E., Mahmood-ul-Hassan, M. A., Rashid, and M. F. Chaudhary, 2012: Nutrient balances as affected by integrated nutrient and crop residue management in cotton-wheat system. *J. Plant Nutri.* **35**: 591-616.
- Ram, H., V. Dadhwal, K. K. Vashist, and H. Kaur, 2013: Grain yield and water use efficiency of wheat (*Titicum aestivum* L.) in relation to irrigation levels and rice straw mulching in North West India. *Agricultural Water Manage.* **128**: 92-101.
- Singh, K. B., S. K. Jalota, and R. K. Gupta, 2015: Soil water balance and response of spring maize (*Zea mays*) to mulching and differential irrigation in Punjab. *Indian J. Agron.* **60**: 279-284.
- Singh, S. D., and S. N. Dubey, 2007: Soil properties and yield of fodder oat (*Avena sativa* L.) as influenced by sources of plant nutrient and cutting management. *Forage Res.* 33: 101-103.