GENE ACTION AND COMBINING ANALYSIS FOR YIELD AND ITS ATTRIBUTING TRAITS IN FORAGE SORGHUM [SORGHUM BICOLOR (L.) MOENCH]

Y. D. PATEL¹, R. N. PATEL², R. A. GAMI^{3*}, P. R. PATEL⁴ AND Y. A.VIRADIYA⁵

¹Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CPCA, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar (Gujarat)

²Potato Research Station, S.D. Agricultural University, Deesa-385 535 (Gujarat)

³Sorghum Research Station, S.D. Agricultural University, Deesa-385 535 (Gujarat)

⁴Pulses Research Station, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar (Gujarat)

⁵Department of Seed Technology, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar (Gujarat)

*(e-mail: ramangami@gmail.com)

(Received: 30 November 2018; Accepted: 25 December 2018)

SUMMARY

The experiment in forage sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] was carried out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications to study gene action and combining ability of nine females and three males with their 27 F1's for fodder yield, its quality and other yield related traits. The ratio of $\sigma 2gca/\sigma 2sca$ was found less than unity for all the traits under study, suggesting greater role of non-additive genetic variance in the inheritance of all these traits. The parents, DSF-123, DSF-138 and DSF-146 were found good general combiners for green fodder yield per plant and its contributing traits viz. number of leaves per plant, leaf width, brix %, dry matter yield per plant and leaf area. On the basis of sca effects, the crosses DSF-130 × CSV 21 F, DSF-140 × GFS 5 and DSF-138 × CSV 21 F were found promising for green fodder yield per plant. These crosses were also manifested high sca values for its contributing traits viz. plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf length, leaf width, brix %, dry matter yield per plant and leaf area. The crosses exhibiting high sca effects for green fodder yield per plant involved average × average or good × average combining parents. Better performance of these hybrids for green fodder yield per plant reflected involvement of interaction of dominant and epistasis type of gene action.

 $\textbf{Keywords}: L \times T$ analysis, Gene action, Combining ability, Green fodder yield

Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.), Moench] is the fifth most important crop after wheat, rice, maize and barley in the world and popularly known as jowar is an annual crop, which belongs to the family Poaceae, having 2n=20 chromosomes. Sorghum is preferred over maize and pearl millet for forage because of its high tolerance to wide variation in soil and moisture conditions as well as better regeneration capacity. In dairy production, the cost of feed constitutes about 60-65% of the total cost of milk production. To reduce the cost of milk production, continuous supply of green fodder to the animal is necessary. There is need for continuous and steady supply of green fodder to increase milk production potential of animal under different intensive programmes executed to sustain of white revolution in the state.

The fodder sorghum is most nutritive for animal among all the fodder crops. Fodder requirement is increasing due to the fast development of dairy industry in the state. To meet this requirement, it is

difficult to increase the area under fodder crops on account of even increasing pressure on land by commercial crops and cereals, over and above the industrial and housing occupation. Therefore, only alternative is by way of increasing the production per unit time and per unit area through providing improved technology in the form of improved varieties and agronomic practices as well as improving quality of fodder sorghum. In order to make fodder sorghum more remunerative crop, obviously there is an urgent need to initiate research for development of varieties and hybrids having faster growth, multicut habit with high regeneration capacity, early to medium maturity and higher biomass coupled with high protein content and minimum toxic constituents like HCN. Srinivasa et al (2006) and AL-Sultan (2003) reported high HCN content in the sorghum plant in early growth stage, which decreased with plant maturity.

The information on the magnitude and nature of prevalent genetic variation is essentially needed to infer about genetic potential of a particular population.

Study of combining ability helps to select good combining parents, which on crossing would produce more desirable segregants. Such studies also elucidate nature and magnitude of gene action in an inheritance of yield and its components, which will help to decide the breeding programme to be followed in segregating generations. Different mating designs have been used by different workers as an aid in the choice of parent and to understand their genetic nature. The line × tester analysis suggested by Kempthorne (1957) to analyze polygenic system is useful technique for screening large number of lines for identifying the best combiner. Similarly, knowledge about nature of gene action governing the expression of various traits could help in predicting the effectiveness of selection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Sorghum Research Station, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Deesa (Gujarat). The testing materials consisted nine lines (DSF-123, DSF-130, DSF-138, DSF-140, DSF-141, DSF-146, DSF-150, DSF-152, DSF-154), three testers (Malwan, CSV 21 F and GFS 5) and their 27 F₁ hybrids. The F₁ hybrids along with twelve parents (9 lines and 3 testers) and one check CSH 13 were evaluated in a Randomized Block Design with three replications during kharif 2016. Data were recorded on five competitive plants selected randomly for plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, sStem diameter (cm), leaf length (cm), leaf width (cm), leaf : stem Ratio, brix (%), green fodder yield per plant (g), dry fodder yield per plant (g), leaf area (cm²) and protein content (%). The protein content was estimated in per centage by protein analyzer. Whereas days to flowering was recorded on plot basis. The mean data were subjected to statistical analysis. The analysis of variance was carried out as per the procedure suggested by Sukhatme and Amble (1989) as well as combining ability variance analysis by Kempthorne (1957). The data were analyzed statistically using the software WINDOSTAT version 8.1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance for combining ability by partitioning the total genetic variance into general combining ability which representing additive genetic variance and specific combining ability as a measure of non-additive genetic variance was carried out for

different characters and are presented in Table 1. The mean sum of square due to lines was significant for brix (%). The mean sum of square due to testers was significant for days to flowering and protein content. The mean sum of square due to line \times testers interaction was significant for all the traits except stem diameter, revealed the significant contribution of hybrids for specific combining ability variance components. The sum of mean square due to testers was larger in magnitude for day to flowering and protein content than the lines indicated greater contribution of testers to these traits. In case of lines, it was observed greater for plant height, number of leaves per plant, stem diameter, leaf length, leaf width, leaf: stem ratio, brix (%), green fodder yield per plant, dry matter yield per plant and leaf area which showed contribution of female lines to these traits more than male parents.

The $\sigma^2 gca$ / $\sigma^2 sca$ ratio was less than unity for all the traits (Table 1) suggesting the greater role of non-additive genetic variances in the inheritance of all the traits. In other word, it can interpreted that the magnitude of specific combining ability variance was higher than general combining ability variance for all the traits which indicated importance of non-additive gene effects in the inheritance of these traits. Thus, for the improvement of forage yield, its components and quality traits, heterosis breeding may be more rewarding.

The presence of predominantly large amount of non-additive gene action would be required for the maintenance of heterozygosity in the population. Preponderance of non-additive genetic variance suggested the relevance of heterosis breeding in forage sorghum. The non-additive gene action for different traits in sorghum has been reported earlier by Patel (2011), Tariq et al. (2012), Padmashree et al. (2014), Aruna et al.(2015) and Kumar and Chand (2015) for days to 50 per cent flowering; Bhatt and Baskheti (2011), Padmashree et al. (2014), Tariq et al. (2012), Aruna et al. (2015), Kumar and Chand (2015) and Kumari et al. (2018) for plant height; Bhatt and Baskheti (2011), Patel (2011), Abdelmula et al. (2014), Padmashree et al. (2014) and Kumar and Chand (2015) for number of leaves per plant and leaf area; Kumari et al. (2018) for number of leaves per plant Patel (2011), Jain and Patel (2014), Padmashree et al. (2014), Tariq et al. (2012) and Kumar and Chand (2015) for stem diameter; Parmar (1997) and Mohan et al. (2007) for leaf: stem ratio; Bhatt and Baskheti (2011), Tariq et al. (2012), Jain and Patel (2014),

Parents d. f. Days to Plant No. of Stem Leaf Dry Leaf area Protein flowering height leaves/ diameter length width stem (%) fodder matter (cm) content plant ratio yield/ yield/ (%) (cm) (cm) (cm) (cm) plant (g) plant (g) Replications 3.20 654.65 1.04 0.004 0.03 0.001 0.26 358.39 133.18 179064.79 0.01 6.66 8 46.99 40.55* Females (Lines) 1573.14 4.97 0.010 621.86 7.86 0.012 19408.79 5536.11 2918708.22 1.05 Males (Testers) 141.38* 50.17 0.001 0.002 80.48 0.14 0.004 0.74 547.17 52.27 56757.58 2.63 * 9.25** 0.56** 16 35.29** 2246.36** 0.010 560.43** 0.006** 12.05** 19858 89* 2589.47** 3197868 67** Females × Males 7.72** 52 4.61 355.23 0.70 0.007 42.17 0.03 0.001 0.27 357.51 51.75 65330.56 0.07 Error Components of Variance 4.79 141.52 0.49 0.001 63.85 0.87 0.001 4.48* 2111.03 610.55 318239.99 0.11 σ² Females -0.001 0.000 0.02 σ² Males 5.09* -9.23 -0.02 1.23 0.003 5.10 0.41 81.83 0.09* 152.94 5.02** 531.58 79621.37 0.10** σ^2 gca 28.46 0.11 0.000 16.89 0.22 0.001* 1.13* 10.47** 648.97** 2.40** 0.002* 171.08** 3.07** 0.002** 3.93** 6483.11** 849.42** 1047773.47** 0.16** σ²gca/σ²sca 0.48 0.04 0.05 0.025 0.10 0.07 0.241 0.29 0.08 0.18 0.08 0.61

TABLE 1 Analysis of variance for combining ability for different traits in forage sorghum

TABLE 2A The estimates of general combining ability (gca) effects of the parents for various characters in forage sorghum

Parents	Days to flowering		Plant height (cm)		No. of leaves/ plant		Stem diameter (cm)		Leaf length (cm)		Leaf width (cm)	
Lines												
DSF-123	1.14	Α	11.06	A	0.88**	G	0.01	A	4.40	Α	0.30**	G
DSF-130	-1.64*	G	-9.03	A	-1.01**	P	0.02	A	-1.46	Α	0.24**	G
DSF-138	0.91	Α	9.95	A	0.84**	G	0.06*	P	0.35	Α	0.81**	G
DSF-140	1.14	Α	-1.89	A	0.08	Α	-0.05 *	G	-1.94	Α	-0.22**	P
DSF-141	2.58**	P	-2.16	A	-0.01	Α	-0.01	A	4.28	Α	0.04	A
DSF-146	-4.75**	G	19.15**	G	0.77**	G	0.01	A	13.04**	G	1.24**	G
DSF-150	-0.98	Α	0.48	A	-0.31	Α	0.01	A	1.63	A	-0.09	A
DSF-152	-0.64	Α	-0.74	A	-0.14	Α	-0.01	A	-2.08	Α	-0.19*	P
DSF-154	2.25**	P	-26.83**	P	-1.10**	P	-0.04	A	-18.22**	P	-2.13**	P
S. Em±	0.66		5.77		0.24		0.02		2.29		0.07	
Testers												
Malwan	2.47**	P	1.51	A	0.00	A	-0.002	A	-0.58	A	-0.08	A
CSV 21F	-0.42	Α	-1.13	Α	- 0.01	Α	-0.01	Α	1.94	Α	0.03	Α
GFS 5	-2.05**	G	-0.38	A	-0.01	A	0.01	A	1.36	Α	0.05	A
S. Em±	0.38		3.33		0.14		0.01		1.32		0.04	

^{*, **}Significant at 5 per cent and 1 per cent levels of significance, respectively.

Padmashree et al. (2014), Aruna et al. (2015), Kumar and Chand (2015) for green forage and dry matter yield per plant, while Kumari et al. (2018) reported for green fodder yield. Dehinwal et al (2017) for total soluble sugar content

On the basis of gca effects, among the females, DSF-123, DSF-138 and DSF-146 were good general combiners for green fodder yield per plant, number of leaves per plant, leaf width, brix %, dry matter yield per plant and leaf area. The parents DSF-130 and DSF-146 were found good general combiners for earliness. While, DSF-123, DSF-150 and DSF-152 were found good general combiners for protein content. DSF-140 was good general combiner for stem diameter. In case of males, the GFS 5 was good general combiner for days to flowering and leaf: stem ratio. The male patent Malwan showed good general

combining ability for protein content (Table 2a & b). So, these males can be extensively used in breeding programme for producing better new recombinations.

Out of 27 cross combinations, seven crosses exhibited significant positive sca effects. The best specific cross combinations were DSF-130 × CSV 21 F, DSF-140 \times GFS 5 and DSF-138 \times CSV 21 F for green fodder yield per plant. These crosses were also manifested high sca values for its contributing traits viz. plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf length, leaf width, brix %, dry matter yield per plant and leaf area. The crosses exhibiting high sca effects for green fodder yield per plant involved average × average or good × average combining parents (Table 3). Better performance of these hybrids for green fodder yield per plant reflected involvement of interaction of dominant and epistasis type gene action.

^{*, **}Significant at 5 per cent and 1 per cent levels of significance, respectively.

G=Parent having significant gca effect in desired direction

P=Parent having significant gca effect in undesired direction

A=Parent having either positive or negative but non-significant gca effect.

Parents Leaf: stem ratio			Brix (%)		Green fodder yileld/plant (g)		Dry matter yileld/plant (g)		Leaf area (cm²)		Protein content (%)	
Lines												
DSF-123	0.02**	G	1.40**	G	30.41**	G	18.90**	G	340.08**	G	0.44**	G
DSF-130	0.08**	G	1.30**	G	-2.45	Α	-9.75**	P	-87.82	Α	0.09	A
DSF-138	0.03**	G	2.83**	G	26.48**	G	19.53**	G	570.49**	G	0.15	A
DSF-140	-0.02**	P	-2.55**	P	-1.93	Α	-8.95**	P	-253.79**	P	-0.38**	P
DSF-141	-0.02**	P	-3.31**	P	-4.56	Α	0.49	A	-13.79	Α	-0.11	A
DSF-146	-0.03**	P	1.22**	G	63.18**	G	28.07**	G	689.65**	G	-0.66**	P
DSF-150	-0.02**	P	-2.11**	P	-1.69	Α	8.61**	G	152.36	Α	0.27**	G
DSF-152	-0.04**	P	0.94**	G	-1.76	Α	-0.83	A	-148.09	Α	0.20*	G
DSF-154	-0.002	Α	0.29	Α	-107.69**	P	-56.07**	P	-1249.09**	P	0.01	Α
S. Em±	0.01		0.17		6.74		2.13		77.85		0.09	
Testers												
Malwan	0.01	Α	-0.15	Α	-0.61	Α	1.37	A	18.51	Α	0.35**	G
CSV 21F	-0.01**	P	0.18	A	-4.16	Α	-1.41	A	33.70	Α	-0.26**	P
GFS 5	0.01*	G	-0.02	Α	4.78	Α	-0.04	A	-51.21	Α	-0.09	Α
S. Em±	0.004		0.10		3.89		1.24		44.95		0.05	

TABLE 2B

The estimates of general combining ability (gca) effects of the parents for various characters in forage sorghum

So, these crosses with high yielding potential can be exploited through only hybrid breeding programme.

CONCLUSION

From above result it can be concluded that the magnitude of specific combining ability variance was higher than general combining ability variance for all the traits which indicated importance of non-additive gene effects in the inheritance of these traits. The parents, DSF-123, DSF-138 and DSF-146 were good general combiners for green fodder yield per plant and its contributing traits viz. number of leaves per plant, leaf width, brix %, dry matter yield per plant and leaf area. DSF-123, DSF-150, DSF-152 and Malwan found good general combiners for protein content. While DSF- $130 \times CSV~21$ F, DSF-140 \times GFS 5 and DSF-138 \times CSV 21 F were best specific cross combination for green fodder yield per plant and other yield contributing traits. These good general combiners for yield and its contributing traits can be utilized in intensive crossing programmes and subsequently selection of transgressive segregants for desired characters in segregating generations for development of superior lines.

REFERENCE

Abdelmula, A. A.; Ahmed, M. I.; Mohammed, M. I. and Gasim, S. 2014: Combining abilities and heterosis for yield and quality traits in forage sorghum

[Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Tropentag. September 17-19, Prague, Czech Republic.

AL-Sultan S I., 2003: Sorghum halepenses and its cyanide content. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* **2**: 123-124.

Aruna, C.; Swarnalatha, M.; Praveen Kumar, P.; Devender, V.; Suguna, M.; Blummel, M. and Patil, J.V. 2015: Genetic option for improving fodder yield and quality in forage sorghum. *Tropical Grasslands – Forrajes Tropicales*. **3**: 49-58.

Bhatt, A. and Baskheti, D.C. 2011: Combining ability studies for green forage yield and its component traits in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] through L x T analysis. Pantnagar Journal of Research. 9: 57-60.

Dehinwal A. K, Pahuja S. K, Joshi U. N, Kumari P, Arya S. 2017: Study of Combining Ability for Quality Component in Forage Sorghum [Sorghum Bicolor (L.) Moench]. *Biosci Biotech Res Asia*. 14: 1533-1542.

Jain, S. K. and Patel, P. R. 2014: Combining ability and heterosis for grain yield, fodder yield and other agronomic traits in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 5: 152-157.

Kempthorne, O. 1957: An Introduction to Genetic Statistics, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.

Kumar, S. and Chand, P. 2015: Combining ability and heterosis for grain yield, fodder yield and other agronomic traits in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Journal of Applied and Natural Sciences. 7: 1001-1005.

Kumari Pummy, Pahuja, Surender, Arya, Sargam, Satpal, Niwas, R and Kumar, A. 2018: Study of combining ability effects in forage sorghum

^{*, **}Significant at 5 per cent and 1 per cent levels of significance, respectively

G=Parent having significant gca effect in desired direction

P=Parent having significant gca effect in undesired direction

A=Parent having either positive or negative but non-significant gca effect.

TABLE 3
Summary of three best general combiners and best performing hybrids based on gca and sca effects, respectively for various traits in forage sorghum

S. No.	Characters	Best General combiner	Gca value	Best performing hybrids based on <i>sca</i> effects	Gca effects	Sca value
1.	Days to flowering	DSF-146	-4.75	DSF-152 × Malwan	$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{P}$	-4.47
		GFS 5	-2.05	DSF-140 \times CSV 21 F	$A \times A$	-4.03
		DSF-130	-1.64	DSF-140 \times GFS 5	$A \times G$	-3.73
2.	Plant height (cm)	DSF-146	19.15	DSF-138 \times CSV 21 F	$A \times A$	45.51
		-		DSF-140 \times GFS 5	$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$	32.27
		-		DSF-123 × Malwan	$A \times A$	28.09
3.	Number of leaves per plant	DSF-123	0.88	DSF-138 \times CSV 21 F	$G \times A$	2.14
		DSF-138	0.84	DSF-130 × Malwan	$P \times A$	1.98
		DSF-146	0.77	DSF-130 \times CSV 21 F	$P \times A$	1.92
4.	Stem diameter (cm)	DSF-140	-0.05	DSF-140 \times Malwan	$G \times A$	-0.09
		-		-		
5.	Leaf length (cm)	DSF-146	13.04	DSF-138 × CSV 21 F	$A \times A$	17.61
		-		DSF-140 \times GFS 5	$A \times A$	16.65
		-		DSF-130 \times CSV 21 F	$A \times A$	15.24
6.	Leaf width (cm)	DSF-146	1.24	DSF-138 \times CSV 21 F	$G \times A$	3.11
		DSF-138	0.81	DSF-140 \times GFS 5	$P \times A$	2.24
		DSF-123	0.30	DSF-130 \times CSV 21 F	$G \times A$	1.83
7.	Leaf: stem ratio	DSF-130	0.08	DSF-130 \times GFS 5	$G \times G$	0.08
		DSF-138	0.03	DSF-141 \times CSV 21 F	$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$	0.06
		DSF-123	0.02	DSF-152 \times CSV 21 F	$P \times P$	0.03
8.	Brix %	DSF-138	2.83	DSF-154 \times CSV 21 F	$A \times A$	3.05
		DSF-123	1.40	DSF-140 \times GFS 5	$P \times A$	2.48
		DSF-130	1.30	DSF-138 \times GFS 5	$G \times A$	2.40
9.	Green fodder yield per plant (g)	DSF-146	63.18	DSF-130 \times CSV 21 F	$A \times A$	112.49
		DSF-123	30.41	DSF-140 \times GFS 5	$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$	111.19
		DSF-138	26.48	DSF-138 \times CSV 21 F	$G \times A$	100.31
10.	Dry matter yield per plant (g)	DSF-146	28.07	DSF-130 \times CSV 21 F	$P \times A$	51.28
		DSF-138	19.53	DSF-140 \times GFS 5	$P \times A$	36.55
		DSF-123	18.90	DSF-138 \times CSV 21 F	$\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{A}$	36.49
11.	Leaf area (cm2)	DSF-146	689.65	DSF-138 \times CSV 21 F	$\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{A}$	1827.60
		DSF-138	570.49	DSF-140 \times GFS 5	$P \times A$	1185.64
		DSF-123	340.08	DSF-130 \times CSV 21 F	$A \times A$	1123.61
12.	Protein content (%)	DSF-123	0.44	DSF-146 \times GFS 5	$P \times A$	0.63
		Malwan	0.35	DSF-154 \times CSV 21 F	$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{P}$	0.57
		DSF-150	0.27	DSF-140 \times Malwan	$P \times G$	0.53

G=Parent having significant gca effect in desired direction

P=Parent having significant gca effect in undesired direction

A=Parent having either positive or negative but non-significant gca effect.

hybrids for yield and quality traits. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. **9**: 528-537. 10.5958/0975-928X.2018.00064.9.

Mohan, M.; Pahuja, S.K.; Yadav, R. and Avtar, R. 2007: Combining ability studies for fodder yield and components in forage sorghum involving male sterile lines × testers. *Forage Res.* 33: 17-21.

Padmashree, N.; Sridhar, K. and Kajjidoni, S.T. 2014: Combining ability studies in forage sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. for yield and quality parameters. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 27: 449-453.

Parmar, H. P. 1997. Line x Tester analysis in forage sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Unpublished Ph. D. thesis, Gujarat Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar (Gujarat).

Patel, V. K. 2011: Heterosis and combining ability studies

in forage sorghum. Unpublished M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Anand Agricultural University, Anand Campus, Anand.

Srinivasa D H, Dwivedi R R, Govindan R and Joshi A., 2006: Status of hydrocyanic acid content of sorghum in relation to anthracnose caused by Collectotrichum graminicola (Ces) Wilson. *Environment and Ecology* **24**: 680-683.

Sukhatme P V and Amble V N. 1989. *Statistical Methods* for Agricultural Workers, 4th Edition. ICAR, New Delhi.

Tariq, A. S.; Akram, Z.; Shabbir, G.; Khan, K.S. and Iqbal, M.S. 2012: Heterosis and combining ability for quantitative traits in fodder sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 3: 775-781.