Forage Res., **44** (3) : pp. 197-200 (2018) **http://forageresearch.in**

PERFORMANCE OF FORAGE BASED INTERCROPPING OF OAT (*MEDICAGO SATIVA* L.)– LUCERNE (*AVENA SATIVA*) UNDER DIFFERENT ROW RATIO

V. C. GANVIT[1,*], VAISHALI H. SURVE[1], A. P. ITALIYA[2] AND B. J. PATEL[1]

[1]Department of Agronomy, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari-396450, India

[2]Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari-396450, India

**(e-mail : vipulganvit567@gmail.com)*

(Received : 6 December 2018; Accepted : 24 December 2018)

SUMMARY

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* season of 2016-2017 at Navsari, Gujarat to study the performance of forage based intercropping of oat (*Medicago sativa* L.) – lucerne under different row ratio. Intercropping system had significant effect on green fodder yield, dry fodder yield, crude protein content and crude fibre content. The results of the experiment showed significant increase in green fodder yield of oat and lucerne, dry fodder yield of oat under different row ratios. However, oat + lucerne in the ratio of 2:1 recorded significantly highest green fodder yield (991.14 q/ha) of oat and lucerne as well as significantly higher dry fodder yield (114.12 q/ha) of oat.Crude protein content and crude fibre content in oat were significantly influenced by different row ratio. However, no significant difference was observed in lucerne crop. Oat and lucerne in 2:1 row ratio recorded significantly higher crude protein and crude fibre content over rest of the treatments at first and second cut.

Key words : Intercropping, Oat, Lucerne, Green fodder, Crude Protein, Crude Fibre

Livestock rearing is very important part of our rural economy not only for animal products, but also for draft power.Availability of green forage to animals is the key to success of dairy enterprises and it is difficult to maintain the health and milk Production of the livestock without supply of green fodder.At present, the country faces net deficit of 61.1% green fodder, 21.9% dry fodder. This situation indicates that green forage supply has to grow at 3.2% to meet the deficit (Kumar and Faruqui, 2010). As a result of deficit in fodder availability livestock suffers continuously with malnutrition for the year round in general, resulting in their production capacity at sub-optimum level.Intercropping of botanically diverse forage species like cereals and legumes appears to be one of the feasible approaches for increasing the fodder yield, utilization of land more efficiently improving fodder quality and providing stability to production (Tripathi, 1989).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* season of 2016-2017 at College Farm, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari.The soil of experimental the field was clayey in texture, having pH 7.8, low in organic carbon content (0.44%), low in available nitrogen (206.50 kg/ha), medium in available phosphorus (38.20 kg/ha) and fairly rich in available potassium (323.18 kg/ha).

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design and replicated four times, six treatments comprising of T_1 sole oat, T_2 sole lucerne, four row ratios of T_3 oat + lucerne (1:1), T_4 oat + lucerne (1:2), T_5 oat + lucerne (2:1) and T_6 oat + lucerne (2:2) were evaluated in present study. The oat and lucerne cultivars Kent and Anand lucerne-2 were used as test crop respectively for oat and lucerne were sown, 30 cm spacing in row proportion as per treatments in third week and fourth week of November. The seed rate under sole and intercropping was maintained at 100 and 25 kg/ha, respectively for oat and lucerne. Recommended dose of fertilizer was applied to both the component crops as basal application. The crop was raised under irrigated conditions with recommended agronomic practices. Total two cuts were taken with the first cut at 54 days after sowing and second cut at 52 days after sowing.The growth parameters, viz. initial plant population/metre row length, plant height and dry matter accumulation (g/

plant) were recorded at each cutting. Green fodder yield recorded immediately after harvest of crops, whereas dry fodder yield of oat was recorded after sun drying at each cut. The plant samples were collected from each plot for dry matter accumulation, crude fibre and estimation of nitrogen for crude-protein content following standard procedure.The economics was calculated on the basis of prevailing market prices of different inputs and output.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Green and dry fodder yields

Green fodder and dry fodder yields were significantly affected by different intercropping treatments (Table 1). The total green fodder (991.14 q/ha) was highest under oat + lucerne in 2:1 row ratio and significantly superior to the other intercropping systems and sole stand of oat and lucerne. The increase in total green fodder yield of oat-lucerne intercropping system in 2:1 row ratio was 42.37 and 45.95% oversole oat and sole lucerne, respectively. The data also indicated that all systems of oat + lucerne showed yield advantage over sole oat and sole lucerne. However, total forage yield was greater because of contribution of oat. The increase in total green fodder and dry matter yields in the intercropping systems might be owing to better utilization of space and light interception coupled with nutrient contribution of leguminous fodder to cereal. The results are in agreement to those to Kumar (2005), Sharma *et al* (2009) and Deore *et al.* (2013).

The data on total dry fodder yield clearly indicated that various treatments of sole and intercropping systems significantly differed among each other. The maximum total dry fodder yield (114.12 q/ha) was obtained under sole oatbut it was found statistically at par with T_5 oat + lucerne 2:1 row ratio (101.08 q/ha). Oat in 1:1, 1:2 and 2:2 row ratio reduced the dry fodder yield over sole cropping of oat. However, total dry fodder yield of sole oat is closely followed by oat + lucerne in 2:1 row ratio.These results confirmed findings of Patel *et al.* (2008), Surve *et al.*, (2012) and Chaplot(2014).

Crude protein content

The data presented in Table 2 showed that various intercropping system with different row ratio significantly influenced the crude protein content in oat. At first cut oat + lucerne (2:1) row ratio recorded higher crude protein (11.43%), however, it was found at par with oat + lucerne (1:1) and oat + lucerne (2:2). The lowest crude protein content (9.37%) was observed under oat + lucerne (1:2) row ratio. Higher crude protein content (10.60%) at second cut was

TABLE 2
Dry fodder yield of oat as influenced by different row ratio under intercropping system

Treatment	Dry fodder yield (q/ha)		
	First cut	Second cut	Total
T_1 : Sole Oat	70.10	44.03	114.13
T_2 : Sole Lucerne	-	-	-
T_3 : Oat + Lucerne (1:1)	42.55	27.73	70.28
T_4 : Oat + Lucerne (1:2)	33.83	21.75	55.58
T_5 : Oat + Lucerne (2:1)	62.58	38.50	101.08
T_6 : Oat + Lucerne (2:2)	39.70	29.68	69.38
S. Em±	2.47	1.94	2.67
C. D. (P=0.05)	7.64	5.98	8.23

TABLE 1
Green fodder yield of oat and lucerne at first and second cut as influenced by different row ratio under intercropping system

Treatment	Green fodder yield (q/ha)				Total
	Oat		Lucerne		
	First cut	Second cut	First cut	Second cut	
T_1 : Sole Oat	430.75	265.38	-	-	696.13
T_2 : Sole Lucerne	-	-	372.25	306.83	679.08
T_3 : Oat + Lucerne (1:1)	268.13	169.06	231.19	188.44	856.81
T_4 : Oat + Lucerne (1:2)	218.44	132.63	298.69	248.19	897.95
T_5 : Oat + Lucerne (2:1)	381.94	234.88	202.88	171.44	991.14
T_6 : Oat + Lucerne (2:2)	255.94	178.13	225.68	194.13	853.86
S. Em±	16.16	10.01	10.03	9.80	17.08
C. D. (P=0.05)	49.82	30.86	30.93	30.22	51.48

TABLE 3
Crude protein content of oat and lucerne as influenced by different row ratio under intercropping system

Treatment	Crude protein (%)			
	Oat		Lucerne	
	First cut	Second cut	First cut	Second cut
T_1 : Sole Oat	9.84	9.14	-	-
T_2 : Sole Lucerne	-	-	18.88	18.31
T_3 : Oat + Lucerne (1:1)	10.32	9.22	19.88	18.63
T_4 : Oat + Lucerne (1:2)	9.37	8.47	21.25	19.19
T_5 : Oat + Lucerne (2:1)	11.43	10.60	22.06	20.31
T_6 : Oat + Lucerne (2:2)	11.11	10.03	21.19	19.19
S. Em±	0.36	0.19	0.71	0.47
C. D. (P=0.05)	1.11	0.59	NS	NS

TABLE 4
Crude fibre content of oat and lucerne as influenced by different row ratio under intercropping system

Treatment	Crude fibre (%)			
	Oat		Lucerne	
	First cut	Second cut	First cut	Second cut
T_1 : Sole Oat	23.05	22.06	-	-
T_2 : Sole Lucerne	-	-	30.78	28.28
T_3 : Oat + Lucerne (1:1)	24.06	21.06	29.19	26.69
T_4 : Oat + Lucerne (1:2)	22.97	21.22	29.15	26.65
T_5 : Oat + Lucerne (2:1)	24.33	22.57	30.79	28.29
T_6 : Oat + Lucerne (2:2)	23.81	22.31	29.47	26.97
S. Em±	0.31	0.29	0.54	0.50
C. D. (P=0.05)	0.98	0.92	NS	NS

recorded with oat + lucerne (2:1), being at par with oat + lucerne (2:2) row ratio. Lowest crude protein content (8.47%) was observed under oat + lucerne (1:2) row ratio.

Data further revealed that the differences observed in crude protein content of lucerne under various intercropping system with different row ratio were found to be non-significant. Similar results were reported by Dadheech *et al*. (2005), Ram (2010), Deore *et al.* (2013), with respect protein.

Crude fibre content

Data presented in Table 3 revealed that various treatments of sole and intercropping system with different row ratio significantly influenced the crude fibre content in oat. At first cut treatment oat + lucerne (2:1) recorded maximum crude fibre (24.33%), however, it was found at par with T_3 oat + lucerne (1:1) and T_6 oat + lucerne (2:2). The lowest crude fibre content (22.97%) was observed under treatment T_4 oat + lucerne (1:2). At second cut treatment T_5 oat + lucerne (2:1) and T_6 oat + lucerne (2:2) were statistically on same bar and recorded maximum crude fibre which was 22.57% and 22.31%, respectively. The lowest crude fibre was observed with treatment T_3 oat + lucerne (1:1).

Data further revealed that the differences observed in crude fibre content of lucerne under various intercropping system with different row ratio were found to be non-significant. The results are in agreement of those reported by Meena and Mann *et al.* (2011), Mandal *et al.* (2014) and Asangla *et al.* (2016) with respect protein and fibre content.

On the basis of the results obtained in present investigation, it can be concluded that by growing fodder oat and lucerne in 2:1 row ratio increased 44% green fodder yield over sole cropping with quality fodder.

REFERENCES

Asangla, H.K. and Gohain, T. 2016. Effect of fodder yields and quality attributes of maize (*Zea mays*) + cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata)* intercropping and different nitrogen levels. *International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research* **6** : 349-356.

Chaplot, P.C. 2014. Introduction of different grasses mixed with legume on Wasteland. *Forage Research* **40** : 199-200.

Dadheech, R.C., Verma, A. and Sumeriya, H.K. 2005. Influence of legume mixture and nutrient levels on yield and quality of fodder oat. *Forage Research* **30** : 179-183.

Deore, S.M., Patel, M.R., Patel, P.M., Patel, H.K. and Patel, U.J. 2013. Production potential of forage maize (*Zea mays*) – cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) intercropping system as influenced by row ratios. *Advance Research Journal of Crop Improvement* **4** : 110-112.

Kumar, S. and Faruqui, S.A. 2010. Forage production technologies for different agro-ecological regions. pp. 1.

Kumar, S., Rawat, C.R. and Melkania, N.P. 2005. Forage production potential and economics of maize *(Zea mays)* and cowpea *(Vigna unguiculata)* intercropping under rainfed conditions. *Indian J. Agronomy* **50** : 184-186.

Mandal, M.K., Banerjee, M. and Banerjee, H. (2014). Evaluation of maize (*Zea mays*)- legume intercropping system under red and lateritic tract of West Bengal. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture* **12** : 117-126.

Meena, L.R. and Mann, J.S. 2011. Productivity, quality and residual soil fertility as influence by intercropping row ratios and sources of nitrogen management in semi-arid region of Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Dryland Agriculture Research & Development* **26** : 91-96.

Patel, B.B., Patel, P.T. and Bhatt, V.K. 2008. Yield and quality of forage sorghum as influenced by intercropping of cowpea and nitrogen under rainfed conditions. *Forage Res.* **34** : 170-173.

Ram, S.N. 2010. Production potential and economics of guinea grass – Caribbean stylo under various row proportions and fertility levels in rainfed conditions. *Indian Journal of Dryland Agriculture Research & Development* **25** : 86-91.

Sharma, R.P., Singh, A.K. and Raman, K.R. 2009. Fodder productivity and economics of pearl millet (*Pennisetum typhoides*) with legumes intercropping under various row proportions. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **54** : 301-305.

Surve, V.H., Patil, P.R. and Arvadia, M.K. 2012. Performance of fodder based intercropping of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolour* L.), Maize (*Zea mays* L.) and Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) *walp.*] under different row ratio. *Agriculture Science Digest* **32** : 336-339.

Tripathi, S.N. 1989. Mixed cropping of forage species in relation to herbage yield and quality. *Indian Journal of Dryland Agricultural Research and Development* **4** : 68-72.