RESPONSE OF PEARL MILLET TO RHIZOSPHERE BIOFERTILIZERS AND FOLIAR APPLICATION OF AZOTOBACTER ISOLATE UNDER RAINFED SITUATION

SAVITA MEHRA, ANIL KUMAR*, NEELAM AND PARVEEN KUMAR

Bajra Section, Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125004 (Haryana), India *(*e-mail: anilbajra2009@gmail.com*) (Received : 4 March 2019; Accepted : 29 March 2019)

SUMMARY

The field experiment entitled "Response of pearl millet to Rhizosphere bioferilizers and foliar application of isolate under rainfed conditions" was conducted during the Kharif season of 2016 at the Research Farm of Genetics and Plant Breeding of Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar with the objective to study the effect of different bio-inoculants (Biomix and Azotobacter) as seed treatment or foliar application along with and without recommended inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield, quality, microbial counts and economics of pearl millet. Twelve different nutrient management combinations *i.e.* T₁ (Control), T₂ (Seed treatment with Biomix), T₃ (Foliar spray of Azotobacter) isolate JFS5 @ 10° cfu/ml at 15 DAS, T₄ (Foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 10° cfu/ml at 30 DAS) , T₅ (RDF (40 kg N + 20 kg P_2O_5 /ha) , T₆ (75 % RDF (30 kg N + 15 kg P_2O_5 /ha) , T₇ (T₅ + seed treatment with Biomix), T₈ (T₅ + foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 10^8 cfu/ml at 15 DAS), T_{9} (T_{5} + foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 10⁸ cfu/ml at 30 DAS), T_{10} (T_{6} + seed treatment with Biomix), T_{11} (T_6 + foliar spray of *Azotobacter* isolate JFS5 @ 10⁸ cfu/ml at 15 DAS) and T_{12} (T_6 + foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 10⁸ cfu/ml at 30 DAS) was laid out in randomized block design along with three replications. The combined application of Biomix bio-inoculants along with RDF (T₂) significantly delayed various phenological events; wherein 50 percent flowering and physiological maturity was delayed by 4.0 and 3.7 days, respectively by this treatment compared to control. The yield attributing parameters [number of ear heads/plant, ear head length (cm), ear head girth (mm), test weight (g)] were also recorded significantly highest under this treatment T_{2} . The pearl millet grain and dry fodder yield were increased by 44.2% (33.40 q/ha) and 42.4% (81.50 q/ha), respectively under T₇ treatment over the control (18.64 q/ha grain; 46.95 q/ha dry fodder yield).

Key words : Pearl millet, biomix, Azotobacter, Azospirillum, Phosphate solubilizing bacteria, phyllosphere, phenology, yield attributes, grain & stover yield

Pearl millet [Pennisetum glaucum (L) R.Br.] is the staple food of majority of the poor and small land holders, as well as feed and fodder for livestock in the rainfed regions of the country. It was grown on 7.50 million ha area with production of 9.73 million tonnes with average productivity of 1305 kg/ha during 2018-19 (Directorate of Millet Development, 2019). In Haryana, the area under this crop is 4.50 Lakh ha with production and productivity of 7.21 lakh tons and 1602 kg/ha, respectively during 2017 season (Anonymous, 2018). Introduction of hybrids have played an important role in increasing productivity of the crop. The average yield of pearl millet in the country as well as in the state is guite low as compared to its potential yield (up to 50.0 q/ha) because it is grown in the marginal areas with poor management practices. So, there is considerable scope for increasing

the productivity of pearl millet by adopting better agronomic practices in the high yielding hybrids/ varieties. After green revolution in 1965-66, the continuous utilizations of chemical fertilizers increased the productivity but, had adverse effect on soil health and environment. Chemical fertilizers alone are costly and also do not support optimal microbial activities. The microbes play a significant role in the life cycle of plants through number of processes such as decomposition, solubilisation, fixation and supply of plant nutrients. The bio-fertilizers may play an important role in minimizing our dependence on inorganic fertilizers. The occurrence of nitrogen fixing and phosphorus solubilising bacteria within the rhizosphere of plants of economic importance is being recently harnessed in Indian agriculture. Inoculation of these bacteria has synergic and additive effects on plant growth besides reducing the cost of cultivation.

The studies on seed inoculation with biofertilizers in the rhizosphere have been carried out at large scale levels but only very few experiments on the foliar application of bio-inoculants in pearl millet crop are being conducted. The phyllosphere refers to leaf surfaces, or total above ground surfaces of plants as a habitat for microorganisms. The inhabitants of the phyllosphere are termed epiphytes. The microbial communities of leaves are diverse and include many genera of bacteria, filamentous fungi, yeasts and algae which are important for plant health and growth (Vorholt, 2012). Microorganisms in the phyllosphere can promote plant growth through the production of hormones (Auxins, Gibberlins, Ethylene etc.). They can also be involved in plant protection, which is due to direct interactions of microorganisms through the production of antibiotic compounds and competition for resources (Berg, 2009). Therefore, the present investigation was conducted to supplement the suboptimal use of RDF by use of bio-fertilizers as seed treatment and application of Azotobacter isolates as foliar sprays in pearl millet with the objectives to study the impact of rhizosphere bio-fertilizers and foliar application of Azotobacter isolate on phenology, yield attributes and yield of pearl millet.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment entitled Response of pearl millet to Rhizosphere biofertilizers and foliar application of Azotobacter isolate under rain fed conditions was conducted at Research Area of the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during Kharif season of 2016. Hisar is situated at latitude of 29° 10°N, longitude of 75°46'E and at a height of 112 meters above mean sea level with semi-arid and subtropical climate, hot and dry summer and severe cold in winter. 1. The experiment was laid out in Randomized block design with three replications and twelve treatments i.e. T_1 : Control T_2 : Seed treatment with Biomix (Azotobacter + Azospirillum + Phosphorus Solublizing Bacteria), T₂: Foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108cfu/ml at 15 DAS, T₄: Foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108 cfu/ml at 30 DAS, T₅: RDF (40 kg N + 20 kg P₂O₅/ha), T₆: 75 % RDF (30 kg N + 15 kg P_2O_5/ha), $T_7 : T_5 + Seed$ treatment with Biomix, $T_8: T_5 +$ Foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108cfu/ml at 15 DAS, T_o : T_{5} + Foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 10^{8} cfu/ml at 30 DAS, T_{10} : T_6 +Seed treatment with Biomix, T_{11} : T_6 + Foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate

JFS5 @ 10⁸cfu/ml at 15 DAS , T_{12} : T_6 + Foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108 cfu/ml at 30 DAS. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam in texture, low in organic carbon, slightly alkaline in reaction, non saline, low in available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and high in available K. The mean maximum temperature during crop season varied from 32.7 to 36.1°C and mean minimum temperature ranged from 19.4 to 26.9°C. The amount of total rainfall received during the crop period was 365.4 mm. Certified seed of hybrid HHB 197 was sown at about 2.0 cm depth by drilling in rows using 5 kg seed/ha. Seed treatment with biomix was also done as per the treatments. Full dose of phosphorus (20 kg P_2O_5/ha) and half dose of nitrogen were applied as per the treatments at the time of sowing and rest of the nitrogen was top dressed after thinning and gap filling. Urea (46% N), Diammonium Phosphate (18% N, 46% P₂O₅) and bio-fertilizers were used as the sources of N and P, respectively. Five representative plants from each plot were selected randomly and tagged for observing the effect of different treatments on plant characters. All biometric observations except dry matter and leaf area were recorded periodically on these randomly selected and tagged plants.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Phenological development of pearl millet

In the present study, there was no significant difference in the seed germination of pearl millet hybrid HHB197 due to different nutrients management treatments than the control. Neelam (2009) also observed that bio-fertilizers (Biomix or Azotobacter seed treatment) along with RDF under rain fed conditions had no significant effect on germination of pearl millet crop. The 50% flowering in treatment T_{τ} - $40 \text{ kg N} + 20 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5/\text{ha} + \text{seed treatment with Biomix}$ took 4.1 more number of days than control. Similarly, other phenological events, *i.e.*, five leaf stage, panicle initiation, flag leaf, boot stage, milk stage, dough stage and physiological maturity, took more number of days, which might be due to better root development or more prominent growth of the plant. The present study also indicated that 50% flowering and days to physiological maturity under control and seed treatment with Biomix or Azotobacter isolate JFS5 induced early flowering and early maturity as compared to higher fertility treatments $(T_7, T_9, T_{10} \text{ and } T_{11})$, which on the other hand prolonged the growth, flowering and maturity duration. Similar results have also been reported by Bhagchand and Gautam (2000) who reported that

Treatment	Emergence	Stages						Physiological maturity
		Five leaf	Panicle initiation	Flag leaf	50% flowering	Milk	Dough	
T ₁	2.7	14.1	23.3	34.5	42.6	55.1	62.0	68.9
T ₂	2.6	14.4	25.4	36.4	44.5	56.3	64.5	71.5
T ₂	2.7	14.3	23.9	35.6	43.7	55.4	63.8	71.2
T ₄	2.7	14.2	23.5	35.3	43.5	55.3	63.6	71.3
T ₅	2.6	14.8	25.8	37.0	45.6	56.8	65.6	72.3
T ₆	2.6	14.7	25.6	36.6	45.0	56.5	65.2	72.1
T ₇	2.5	15.3	26.7	38.5	46.7	57.4	66.5	72.6
T,	2.6	15.1	26.1	37.6	46.2	57.0	66.1	72.4
T	2.6	14.9	25.9	37.3	45.7	56.8	65.8	72.1
T ₁₀	2.5	15.2	26.3	37.9	46.1	57.2	66.2	72.3
T ₁₁	2.6	15.0	26.1	37.0	45.5	56.9	65.6	72.0
T ₁₂	2.6	14.7	26.0	36.8	45.2	56.7	65.4	71.8
S. Em±	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
C. D. (P=0.05)	NS	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.7

 TABLE 1

 Effect of different treatments on phenological events (days) in pearl millet

*Biomix=Azotobacter+Azospirillum+Phosphate solubilising bacteria.

combined application of rhizospheric bio-fertilizers along with inorganic fertilizers delayed flowering and maturity in pearl millet.

B. Yield and Yield attributes

In the present study, the combined application of inorganic fertilizers along with bio-fertilizers improved the yield attributing characters *viz.*, number of ear heads/plant, ear head length, ear head girth, test weight (g), grain, stover and biological yield (q/ha) (Table 2 and 3). Grain yield was found significantly highest in the treatment T_7 - 40 kg N + 20 kg P₂O₅/ha + seed treatment with Biomix. The combined application of Biomix along with RDF (T_7) increased the grain yield by 44.2% (33.40 q/ha) over the control (18.64 q ha).

This might be due to better root growth and development, resulting in more nutrients uptake and higher dry matter accumulation/plant and its subsequent translocation to the developing panicle. Similar results have also been reported by Piccinin *et al.* (2011) and Lakum *et al.* (2011). The increase in yield of pearl millet might also be due to the fact that phytohormones produced by the bio-fertilizers stimulated root growth and induced changes in root morphology, which in turn affected the assimilation of the nutrients. The increased production of pearl millet could be ascribed to bio-fertilizers *viz.*, *Azospirillum* and *Azotobacter*, which fixed atmosphere nitrogen into the soil and made it available to the plants. As nitrogen is a constituent of protein and

chlorophyll, it plays vital role in the photosynthesis. These finding support those of Singh *et al.* (2013).

Seed treatment with Biomix or Azotobacter isolate JFS5 significantly increased the stover yield (q/ ha) was found highest in treatment T_{7} - 40 kg N + 20 kg $P_2O_5/ha +$ seed treatment with Biomix but, it was statistically at par with $T_s - T_s + foliar$ spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108 cfu/ml at 15 DAS (76.28 q/ha). The stover yield increased by 42.4% (81.50 g/ha) in T₂ treatment over the T₁- control (46.95 q/ha). This increase in Stover yield might be attributed to increased height, leaf area and dry matter production. In the inorganic + bio-fertilizer treatments, the positive benefits of seed bacterization could be attributed mainly to nitrogen fixation and other factors like release of hormones, increase of plant growth promoting substances (PGPS) and nutrients uptake. The results of almost similar nature were also reported by Neelam (2009).

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. 2018 : Accessed from agricoop.nic.in. Department of Agriculture Cooperation and Farmer Welfare.
- Berg, G. 2009 : Plant-microbe interactions promoting plant growth and health: perspectives for controlled use of microorganisms in agriculture. *Applied Microbiol. and Biotech.*, **84** : 11-18.
- Bhagchand and R. C. Gautam. 2000 : Effect of organic manures, bio-fertilizers and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of rain fed pearl millet. *Annals of Agril. Res.*, **21** : 452-464.
- Chellamuthu, V., A.K.F. Khan and P. Malarvizhi. 2000 :

Treatment	No. of effective tillers/plant	Ear head length (cm)	Ear head girth (cm)	Test weight
T ₁ : Control	1.45	22.5	2.68	8.3
T ₂ : Seed treatment with Biomix*	1.97	24.8	2.74	8.7
T_3^2 : Foliar spray of <i>Azotobacter</i> isolate <i>JFS5</i> @ 108 cfu/ml at 15 DAS	1.82	24.4	2.72	8.5
T ₄ : Foliar spray of <i>Azotobacter</i> isolate <i>JFS5</i> @ 108 cfu/ml at 30 DAS	1.74	23.6	2.71	8.3
T_{5}^{4} : RDF (40 kg N + 20 kg P ₂ O ₅ /ha)	2.14	25.3	2.80	9.0
T_{6}^{2} : 75% RDF (30 kg N+15 kg P ₂ O ₅ /ha)	2.06	24.8	2.78	8.8
T_{7}^{0} : T ₅ +seed treatment with Biomix	2.58	27.4	2.82	9.5
$T_{o}': T_{s}'+$ foliar spray of <i>Azotobacter</i> isolate <i>JFS5</i> @ 108 cfu/ml at 15 DAS	2.42	26.8	2.81	9.3
T_0° : T_z° +foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108 cfu/ ml at 30 DAS	2.23	26.3	2.80	9.1
T_{10} : T_{e} +seed treatment with Biomix	2.49	26.6	2.81	9.3
T_{11}^{10} : T_{c}^{0} +foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108 cfu/ ml at 15 DAS	5 2.31	25.1	2.79	9.0
$T_{12}^{(1)}$: T_{e}^{0} +foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108 cfu/ ml at 30 DAS	5 2.17	25.4	2.78	8.8
S. Em±	0.01	0.75	0.01	0.08
C. D. at 5% level of significance	0.04	2.2	0.03	0.24

 TABLE 2

 Effect of different nutrient management on yield attributes of pearl millet

*Biomix = Azotobacter+Azospirillum+Phosphate Solubilising bacteria.

TABLE 3

Effect of different nutrient management treatments on yield, harvest index and grain: chaff of pearl millet

Treatment		Yield (q/ha)			Grain : Chaff
	Grain	Stover	Biological	. ,	
T ₁ : Control	18.64	46.95	65.59	28.51	1.12
T ₂ : Seed treatment with Biomix*	22.43	57.69	80.13	27.98	2.17
T ₂ : Foliar spray of <i>Azotobacter</i> isolate <i>JFS5</i> @ 108 cfu/ml at 15 DAS	21.39	56.09	77.49	27.59	1.81
T _a : Foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108 cfu/ml at 30 DAS	21.80	54.50	76.30	28.64	1.75
T_{s} : RDF (40 kg N+20 kg P ₂ O _s /ha)	29.93	72.65	102.59	29.20	2.70
T_{2} : 75% RDF (30 kg N+15 kg P ₂ O ₂ /ha)	25.36	63.42	88.78	28.26	2.50
T_{a}^{0} : T_s+seed treatment with Biomix	33.40	81.50	114.90	29.06	4.07
T_{o}^{\prime} : T_{s}^{\prime} +foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108 cfu/ml at 15 DAS	31.14	76.28	107.42	28.99	3.31
T_{0}° : T_{z}° +foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108 cfu/ml at 30 DAS	30.70	74.25	104.95	29.26	2.80
T_{in} : T_+seed treatment with Biomix	28.75	73.55	102.30	28.37	3.47
T_{11}^{10} : T_{c}° +foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108 cfu/ml at 15 DAS	27.04	70.83	97.87	28.22	2.90
T_{1}^{11} : T_{2}^{0} + foliar spray of Azotobacter isolate JFS5 @ 108 cfu/ml at 30 DAS	27.13	68.62	95.75	28.30	2.66
S. Em±	1.13	2.06	2.77	0.9	0.04
C. D. (P=0.05)	3.35	6.09	8.19	NS	0.14

Studies on effect of inorganic and biofertilizers on bajra-napier hybrid grass. *Range Manage. and Agroforestry*, **21** : 135-138.

- Kalaiarasi, R. and S. Dinakar. 2015 : Positive Effect of different formulations of Azotobacter and Paenibacillus on the enhancement of growth and yield parameters in maize (Zea mays L.). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 4: 190-196.
- Kumar A., R. C. Gautam and S. K. Kaushik. 1995 : Production potential of rain fed pearl millet castor intercropping at different fertility levels. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 65 : 315.
- Kumar, P., H. Singh, R. S. Hooda and V. P. Singh. 2007 : Effect of different nitrogen levels and biofertilizers on crop productivity, soil properties and rhizosphere microflora under pearl millet-wheat cropping system. *Res. on crops* 8 : 72-76.
- Lakum, Y. C., S. H. Patel and P. V. Mehta. 2011 : Reducing fertilizer requirement with the use of bio- fertilizers in summer pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.).

An Asian J. Soil Sci., 6: 50-53.

- Neelam. 2009 : Compensating N. and P requirement through biofertilizers in pearl millet under rainfed situation.M. Sc. Thesis, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar.
- Piccinin, G. G., L. G. M. Dan, A. L. Braccini, D. C. Mariano, R. S. Okumura, G. Bazo, and T. T. Ricci. 2011 : Agronomic efficiency of *Azospirillum brasilense* in physiological parameters and yield components in wheat crop. J. Agron., 10 : 132-135.
- Singh, R., A. K. Gupta, T. Ram, G. L. Choudhary and A. C. Sheoran. 2013 : Effect of integrated nitrogen management on transplanted pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) under rain fed condition. *Indian J. Agron.*, 58 : 81-85.
- Tilak, K.V.B.R. 1991 : *Azospirillum* inoculant. In: *Bacterial Fertilizers*. ICAR Publication, Pusa, New Delhi, pp. 40-46.
- Vorholt, J.A. 2012 : Microbial life in the phyllosphere. *Nature Reviews Microbiol.*, **10** : 828-840.