NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT FOR SUSTAINABLE OAT PRODUCTION - A REVIEW

JAGADISH JENA*1, JNANA BHARATI PALAI2 AND GOUTAM KUMAR DASH3

¹Department of Agronomy, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur (Chhattisgarh), India ²M.S. Swaminathan school of Agriculture, Centurion University of Technology and Management, Paralakhemundi-761211, (Odisha), India

³Plant Physiology & Biochemistry Division, ICAR-National Rice Research Institute, Cuttack (Odisha), India *(e-mail: jagadish759020@gmail.com)

(Received: 10 December 2019; Accepted: 25 December 2019)

SUMMARY

Land fragmentation and industrialization pulling back the agricultural output and have a tremendous pressure on nation's food security. Oat is an emerging dual purpose crop which provides high quality grains for human consumption and nutritious fodder for cattle feeding. To feed the world's largest cattle population, it is the present duty to increase productivity of oat with agronomic optimization in pre-exist cultivars. Optimization of nutrient management is always a daunting task among the agronomic practices because it is a complex phenomenon to estimate the nutrient balance to get efficient fertilization promising sustainability of the system. Biofertilizers, which are the living cell inoculums fixing atmospheric N and solubilize fixed P, K and other micronutrients can be a great option when applied judiciously with other nutrient sources to achieve the goal. Therefore, there is a need for an efficient nutrient management for sustainable oat production.

Key words: Oat, biofertilizer, integrated nutrient management, food security

Oat (Avena sativa L.) belongs to family Poaceae, is an important annual rabi crop in India, and is also known as Jai or Javi in Indian subcontinent. It has significance contribution in human nutrition and medicines even long before current use as food (Whole Grains Bureau, 2014). The dietary fibre complex of oat grain with its antioxidants, minerals, β-glucan, vitamin E, polyunsaturated fatty acids and other phytochemicals is effective against different chronic diseases and disorders in humans. With all these biosignificant substances oat in human diet considered as beneficial for human health (Sterna et al., 2016). Oat grain not only considered a good source for human nutrition but also makes a good and balanced concentrate on the ration for poultry, cattle, sheep and other animals. Oste et al. (2000) reported that oat milk was developed as a base for the making of different milk free dairy products. Beside this, it contains 2.31% ether extract, 9.33% total ash, 0.47% calcium, 0.22% phosphorus, 0.22% magnesium, 0.52% sodium and 2.84% potassium (SELF nutrition data, 2015).

Though Oat is a dual-purpose crop, its value both as a grain and fodder crop cannot be neglected. Being a shy seeder, the productivity as well as availability of oat seeds considered very much important now a day. India is the home for about 16% cattle and 5.5% buffalo from 512.05 million livestock (DAHD&F,

2012) and it is also facing net deficit of 35% of green fodders and 11% of dry fodders (Meena et al., 2019) which demands the fodder production enhancement. Seed production of oats will mitigate the deficiency of green fodder production in our country. From the nutrient management point of view, more fertilizer application may increase the yield of fertile oat grain significantly but its excess use may result adverse impacts on environment that includes ground water pollution and soil degradation (Miransari and Mackenzie, 2011a, b). Thus, a suitable fertilization method needs in place that supplies necessary quantity of nutrients to the plants (Miransari, 2011c). There is no complete substitute of chemicals fertilizers and the integration of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients not only supplies essential nutrients but also have some positive interaction with chemical fertilizers to increase their efficiency and thereby reduce environment hazards i. e. decline in soil fertility, ground water pollution and increasing greenhouse gas emission etc.

NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT IN OAT

a. Nitrogen management in oat

Nitrogen is one of the important constituents of the chlorophyll pigment and N fertilization not only

increases the chlorophyll content but also increases the leaf area in plants which are responsible for maximizing radiation load and the rate of photosynthesis leading to increasing biomass accumulation (Azeez, 2009; Wortman *et al.*, 2011; Diacono *et al.*, 2013). Hazra and Sinha (1996) stated that different nutrients i.e. N, P, K, B, Zn have specific role in developing potential flower and seed setting mechanism of crop plants while, crops requiring higher N failed to produce potential flower and seed set due to shortage of N during reproductive phase since at that period N is involved in amino acid synthesis, a substrate for seed set or flower development.

Patel *et al.* (2007) reported that seed yield of oat was significantly influenced due to nitrogen levels and application of 80 kg N ha⁻¹ gave significantly higher seed yield (18.5 q ha⁻¹) than that with 40 kg N ha⁻¹ (16.2 q ha⁻¹). He also reported that successive increase in nitrogen application increased the nutrient uptake and the forage yield of oat application of 120 kg N ha⁻¹ gave significantly higher N, P and K uptake as well as the green forage and dry matter yields of oat in total of two cuts (Patel *et al.*, 2008).

Bhat et al. (2000) proposed that early sowing resulted in high nutrient uptake and yield components. Increasing N rates from 0 to 90 kg ha⁻¹ resulted to an increase in grain yield, while crop lodging resulted beyond 90 kg ha⁻¹. However, straw yield increased significantly up to 150 kg N ha-1. In contrast, Kakol et al. (2003) found that the total green forage and dry matter yields from both harvests increased significantly with successive increase in nitrogen level up to 150 kg ha⁻¹. Zhou et al. (1998) found that N and late sowing caused an increase in protein content and a decrease in moisture content, whereas other grain qualities were little affected. Monem et al. (2015) showed that cover crops had significant effects on biomass, test weight, seed yield and harvest index. Nitrogen fertilizer had significant effects on biomass, number of grains cob-1, number of grains row-1, test weight and seed yield so that these traits increased when higher rates of nitrogen were applied. Mohr et al. (2007) reported that low to moderate N rates significantly increased yield, with optimum relative yield achieved with a plant available N supply of approximately 100 kg N ha⁻¹. Increasing N rate reduced test weight, kernel weight and kernel plumpness, suggesting that optimal N management must balance yield improvement against reductions in grain quality. Joshi et al. (2015) found higher oat production with the application of 90 kg N/ ha in three splits i. e. basal (50%), 30DAS (25%) and 45 DAS (25%). Godara *et al.* (2016) reported growth parameters, green and dry matter production when N level increased from 40 to 120 kg/ha, tillers/meter length of row and leaf:stem ratio were increased up to 80 kg N/ha.

b. Phosphorous management in oat

Hazra and Sinha (1996) reported that a supply of P is essential to plants at early stage and during seed formation, the latter because P is found in phytin seed reserves and P is found in organic form in younger tissue and inorganic form in older tissue while K is essential for efficient water relationship in plants, formation and translocation of carbohydrates and activation of some enzyme. It is also reported that concentration of P in seeds had greater influence in good growth and seed yield of various forage crops. Higher P concentration in seeds of various plants had been found to increase yield of seedlings and plant grown from seeds of high P irrespective of soil P condition. Tripathi (2003) reported that the green and dry fodder yield of oats + Indian clover significantly increased with 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ on low P soil and 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ in medium to high P soils over their respective control. However, the yield increase was highest with highest level of P application (120 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹). Hussain et al. (2016) reported that phosphatic fertilizer to wheat crop under arid condition and result can be concluded that application of phosphatic fertilizer at 5 cm apart side dressing of the rows at a depth of 5 cm not only increase the plant vigor but also produced better yield under arid condition.

c. NPK management in oat

Mohr *et al.* (2007) reported phosphorus application increased yield in 2 of 6 site-years, but had no overall effect on quality. Application of KCl resulted in small increases in yield (88 kg ha⁻¹), kernel weight and kernel plumpness on moderate to high K soils, which were not likely to provide a significant economic benefit. N, P and K have specific role in developing potential flower and seed setting mechanism of crop plants.

Verma et al. (2016) reported significant increase in grain yield (4.99 t/ha), straw yield (10.66 t/ha), NPK uptake by both seed and grain on application of FYM@ 10 t/ha over control.

To study the effect of increasing doses of nitrogen fertilizers at 50%, 100% and 175% balance

of phosphorus and potassium with yield investigation was conducted by Shakovets (2003) and reported that the application of N $_{(60+30)}$ P $_{(40)}$ K $_{(80)}$, following use of organic fertilizers at 70 t ha $^{-1}$, resulted in the highest yield and grain quality. Kubresh and Bezsilko (1990) reported that oats grown after barley were given no fertilizers or various combinations of 0, 40, 60, 80 or 100 kg N ha⁻¹, 0, 45, 60, 70 or 80 kg P₂O₅ and 0, 90, 120, 140 or 160 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Average grain yields ranged from 4.17 t ha-1 with no fertilizers to 5.56 t with 60 kg N + 45 kg $P_2O_5 + 90$ kg K_2O ha⁻¹. Grain protein content ranged from 10.3% with 45 kg P₂O₅ + 90 kg K₂O to 12.5% with 80 kg N + 70 kg P₂O₅ + 140 kg K₂O. N application increased N content in grain and straw. P application did not affect the contents of the elements tested and K application increased straw K content. Ahmad et al. (2011) reported higher plant height, leaf area, number of leaves per plant, number of tillers per plant and per m² and green fodder yield in 100% RDF from inorganic fertilizer sources in contrast to organic manure.

Malakar et al. (2009) reported that fodder yield attributes i.e. DFY and CPY were the maximum when nitrogen was applied @ 80 kg ha⁻¹. Similarly, in case of phosphate, the different yield attributes were the maximum when applied @ 60 kg ha-1. The result concluded that N₈₀ and P₆₀ proved to be the best, which were statistically at par with N_{120} and P_{80} respectively. Kumar and Sood (1995) reported that crude protein content in oats increased up to 60 kg N ha⁻¹ and 75 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. Whereas, crude fiber content increased with nitrogen rate and decreased with phosphorus rate. The results obtained from multi location study, Malik and Paynter (2010) suggested that adequate levels of N and K were important to optimize yield and quality of oat hay and grain. Highest hay and grain yields were obtained with combined application of 80 kg ha⁻¹ N and 100 kg ha⁻¹ K. There was no evidence that higher rates of N or K decreased hay or grain quality. The effect of K in improving grain weight and reducing screenings levels was more reflective at higher N level.

d. NPKZn management in oat

Arshad *et al.* (2016) also got the significant influence of combined P and Zn application in cereals. Hazra and Sinha (1996) reported Zinc regulates the synthesis of RNA and transcript the synthesis of substrate required in the development of seed. Arora and Singh (2004) also reported that the combined application of zinc @ 5 kg ha⁻¹ and N @ 60 kg ha⁻¹

increased the yield components like effective tillers, ear length, per ear test weight significantly as compared to lower levels. Higher doses of Zn and N increase yield and yield components non-significantly. According to Joshi et al. (2007), growth attributes viz. plant height, number of tillers and leaves m-1 row length were recorded higher with application of 100% recommended dose of NPK along with along with 20 kg ZnSO₄ ha-⁻¹ and took minimum days to attain 50% flowering. Based on the DTPA method, the critical soil levels were found to be 4.3 ppm of Fe and Mn and 0.9 ppm for Zn and Cu as mentioned by Ziaeian and Malakouti (2001). Rana et al. (2013) found maximum green fodder yield of 59.33 and 48.83 t/ha, dry matter yield of 17.16 and 14.16 t/ha in 0.5% ZnSO₄ foliar spray at 35 and 45 DAS+RDF during 2009 and 2010, respectively. Khanday et al. (2009) revealed that increase in phosphorous up to 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher seed and straw yields. Significantly higher yield was observed with Zn @ 10 kg ha⁻¹. Higher panicle length, grains/panicle, test weight was recorded with the application of zinc, FYM and phosphorous up to 10 kg ha⁻¹, 15 t ha⁻¹ and 40 kg ha⁻¹ respectively.

e. NPK and bio-fertilizer management in oat

Abbasi and Yousra (2012) reported that biofertilizer has been acknowledged as a substitute to chemical fertilizer to increase soil fertility and crop production in sustainable farming. Most of the farmers assume that chemical fertilizer gives more yield than the biofertilizer, ignoring environmental and long-term losses. So he conducted an experiment to study efficiency and efficacy of the biofertilizer in opposition to the chemical fertilizer and in additions to this comparison also done among the four species of Azotobacter for their aid in increase in yield and biomass of wheat crop and found the increase in growth attributes and also yield significantly in treated plots than controlled and higher than only chemical source applied plot which gives the same result as concluded by Autade et al.(2011). Moghadam et al. (2012) suggested that biofertilizer application can substitute 25% of chemical fertilizer. Improved vegetative growth in multi-cut fodder oat was recorded higher in 150 kg N ha⁻¹ along with 40 kg P ha⁻¹ and dual inoculation of seed with Azotobacter chroococcum + Pseudomonas striata (Jayanthi et al., 2002).

Researches with biofertilizer application in

oats are limited hence some reviews are presented here of other winter crops and cereals to highlight the effect of biofertilizers on their sole application or integrated application to cereals. Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) inoculated with *Azotobacter chrococcum* showed the positive impacts on both grain yield and nitrogen content of grain in *Azotobacter* treated plot than untreated (Kizilkaya, 2008). PSB inoculated with *Oryza sativa*, increased significantly the protein content by 41.21% as compared to control (Ravikumar *et al.*, 2014). Integrated application of 100% RDF with biofertilizers (Azotobacter+PSB) recorded highest dry fodder and green fodder yield as well as highest net return and B: C in oat production (Deva *et al.*, 2014).

Poureidi *et al.* (2015) experimented to evaluate the effect of plant growth promoting bacteria (*Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter*, *Pseudomonas*) as one factor and four levels of urea nitrogen fertilizer (0, 100, 200, 300 kg ha⁻¹) on growth and yield of wheat and result revealed as comparison of interaction between nitrogen (300 kg ha⁻¹) with PGPR giving highest grain yield (5151 kg ha⁻¹) and control treatments having lowest yield (2943 kg ha⁻¹). Enhancement of protein content up to 18.6% in wheat with inoculation of biofertilizer was reported by Sary *et al.* (2009), Abedi *et al.* (2010) and Rana *et al.* (2012) independently.

Saber et al. (2012) found that application of biofertilizers could increase grain yield and harvest index as much as 46.6% and 48.8% respectively compared to control. Integrated treatments (synthetic + biofertilizers) showed better performance in terms of grain numbers spike⁻¹, tiller number and shoot length by 35.6, 35.1 and 31.9% compared to separate treatments respectively. Mirshekari et al. (2012) in order to study the effects of PGPR at various levels of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers on yield and dry matter accumulation of barley, resulted that maximum test weight, grain yield, biological yield and harvest index were obtained in the plots which was applied the highest levels of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers in seed priming with both *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter* compared to control treatment.

Farnia and Gudiny (2014) showed that *brady Rhizobium japonicum* biofertilizer inoculation significantly giving higher responses towards growth parameters and yield parameters than the control plot with only chemical sources of nutrients. Nonapplication of each N and P biofertilizers has a lowest pod length and application of any biofertilizers had high pod length. Khan *et al.* (2007) reported positive

influence of nitrogen fertilization on grain yield of wheat and it was also reported that the grain yield further enhanced by biofertilizer inoculation over control (Sary et al., 2009; Wortman et al., 2011; Scursoni et al., 2012; Namvar et al., 2012). Azotobacter inoculation had pronounced effect under no nitrogen or at lower levels of nitrogen than higher levels Devi et al. (2014).

RDF, FYM and bio-fertilizer management in oat

Singh et al. (2005) reported that Azotobactor in combination with RDF gives better response than only FYM treated plots and FYM in combination with RDF treated plots. The result is in conformity with the findings of Sheoran et al. (2000). The higher yield in Azotobactor + RDF fertility level might be due to increased number of shoots, panicle length, grains panicle-1 and test weight. Karwasra et al. (2007) repeating the experiment of Singh et al. (2005) reported that the increase in seed yield with Azotobactor + RDF fertility level was 42.2% and 16.21% higher over only FYM and FYM + RDF fertility combination. However, Jan et al. (2014) found that combination of liquid manure (Jinong) + Farmyard induced better growth than biofertilizer + Jinong or biofertilizer + farm yard manure. Kumar and Shivadhar (2006) reported higher sustainable and quality fodder with 50% RDF, vermicompost 5 t/ha and FYM 5 t/ha from single cut oat. Amanullah et al. (2012) reported application of biofertilizer in combination with 45 kg N ha⁻¹ and 30 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ increased fresh yield from 11% to 59% and suggested increases in grain yield of 20-46% as compared with control treatment. Fallahi et al. (2013) in wheat seeds under salinity stress reported that nitrogen application increased seed nitrogen content in parent plants. Application of middle levels of N fertilizer (55 and 110 kg ha⁻¹ N) on parent plants combined with seed priming with *Nitragin* biofertilizer improved the germination indices of wheat under salinity stress.

Esmailpour et al. (2013) showed that treatment with livestock manure, Azotobacter and chemical nitrogen increased plant height, biological and grain yield. Using livestock manure and Azotobacter increased biological yield through increase in plant height which cause to increase in grain yield without any significant changes in harvest index and other yield components, but chemical N increase plant height and test weight so concluded for combined application of biofertilizers and chemical

fertilizers. Seed biofertilization increased grain yield of wheat up to 15% compared with control. It showed to reduce the urea application upto 33% when seeds treated with biofertilizers (Mirshekari and Kouchebagh, 2013). Khanday *et al.* (2009) reported improved yield attributes viz., length of panicle, grains/panicle and thousand grain weight with the application of FYM, phosphorusand zinc up to 15 t/ha, 40 kg P₂O₅/ha and 10 kg Zn, respectively.

Abdelraouf et al. (2013) showed significant increase in water use efficiency, nutrient use efficiency, growth parameters and quality of the produce found in biofertilizer treated plots. Esmailpour et al. (2013) found that treatment with livestock manure, Azotobacter and chemical nitrogen increased plant height, biological and grain yield. Using livestock manure and Azotobacter increased biologic yield through increase in plant height which cause to increase in grain yield without any significant changes in harvest index and other yield components and finally he concluded that using livestock manure and chemical nitrogen fertilizer together with the Azotobacter had the maximum impact on yield.

Comparative study of chemical fertilizer and biofertilizer application in cereals

Biofertilizers with chemical fertilizers is more productive as well as economical Ghaderi et al. (2012). Azimzadeh et al. (2012) in order to study the replacement probability of biofertilizer with chemical fertilizer in bread wheat, taking 4 levels of biofertilizers and seven levels of chemical N fertilizers and the results showed that biofertilizer application increased the growth parameters viz, plant height, LAI, fertile seeds ear-1, biomass accumulation and yield significantly when applied combinedly with chemical sources. Similar trend was also observed by Abdel-Razzak and El-Sheshtawy (2013). Mohammed et al. (2012) found combined application of organic and biofertilizer increased number of reproductive tillers plant⁻¹, number of seeds head⁻¹, number of seeds tiller ¹, number of seeds plant⁻¹, plant yield (g plant⁻¹), test weight (g) and yield. Organic manure and effective micro organism (EM) increased the yield by 36% in the first season and 34% in the second season. Interaction effect between biofertilizer × nitrogen chemical fertilizer levels had significantly increased fertile tillers, number of grains per plant, grain yield, starch grain, gluten grain, protein grain and flag leaf area. The result also concluded that applying biofertilizer can substitute 25% of chemical source of

nutrients (Moghadam et al. 2012). Biofertilizers in combination with micronutrients have significant positive impact on growth, physiological parameters and nutrients content of wheat plants and found that the treated plot showed higher IAA content and protein content than untreated one. Also, the treatment resulted in highest grain and straw yield as well nutrient concentration than the untreated one (Eleiwa et al. 2012). However, Agamy et al. (2012) observed that the application of Bio and/or FYM in combination with NPK significantly increased all growth characters i.e., plant height, number of spikes plant⁻¹, leaf area and fresh and dry weights of both shoot and spikes plant-1. Omran et al. (2009) reported that the interaction effect between FYM with 50% of the recommended dose of N and biofertilizer inoculation induced significant increase in growth parameters, seed quality and seed chemical compositions of flax seeds grown on sandy soil.

Singh et al. (2000) concluded from his experiment that Azotobacter inoculation increased the forage yield by 78% compared with no inoculation. The yield response to N decreased with Azotobacter inoculation, but the decrease was less at lower N levels compared with that at higher levels. The nitrogen utilization efficiency (NUE) decreased with Azotobacter inoculation as well as with increasing level of N. Optimum dose of N gave higher NUE compared with maximum dose. The optimum rates of N for oat were 95.3 and 112.6 kg ha⁻¹ with and without Azotobacter. In Azotobacter inoculated oat, N fertilization at optimum rate was economically superior to the maximum rate. Bilal et al (2017) reported that seed inoculation of biofertilizers (Azotobactor + Azospirillum) produced 9.58%, 6.58%, 2.51%, 16.94%, 10.26%, 17.59%, 14.02%, 33.81% and 66.18% more plant height, number of tillers, leaf: stem ratio, dry matter yield, mineral matter contents, crude fibre, crude protein, crude protein yield and total digestible crude protein yield, respectively over uninoculated seeds. He also propounded that inoculation alone without nitrogen application produced 19.16% and 6.87% more dry matter yield and crude protein (%), respectively. The enhancing effects of biofertilizers on growth and dry matter of oat was gradually decreased at higher nitrogen levels but it was more at intermediate level of inorganic nitrogen combined with seed inoculation.

CONCLUSION

Recently emerged oat crop cultivation in India

largely needs optimization in management practices to explore the productive potential of cultivars and to achieve food security and environmental sustainability. Though synthetic fertilizers having quick response on crop nutrition, but it has negative impacts i.e. lowering partial factor productivity, emergence of multi-nutrient deficiency, water body contamination, environment pollution etc. which can be improved by judicious application of synthetic and organic sources. Among organic sources of plant nutrients, biofertilizers are emerging options which consists of living strains of microbial culture enhancing soil health, fix and enrich soil N status and avail the fixed nutrients to plants by solubilizing them. It is more important to feed the soil rather than plants for long term sustenance of soil productivity, which can be achieved by judicious application of biofertilizers, organic manures and synthetic fertilizers rather than their solo application.

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, M. K. and M. Yousra, 2012: Synergistic effects of biofertilizer with organic and chemical N sources in improving soil nutrient status and increasing growth and yield of wheat grown under greenhouse conditions. *Plant Biosystems*, **146**(Suppl. 1): 181–189.
- Abdelraouf, R. E., S. F. El-Habbasha, M. Hozayn, and E. Hoballah, 2013: Water stress mitigation on growth, yield and quality traits of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) using biofertilizer inoculation, *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 9(3): 2135–2145.
- Abdel-Razzak, H. S., G. A. El-Sharkawy, 2013: Effect of biofertilizer and humic acid applications on growth, yield, quality and storability of two garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) cultivars, *Asian Journal of Crop Science*, 5: 48–64.
- Abedi, T., A. Alemzadeh, S. A. Kazemeini, 2010: Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on grain yield and protein banding pattern of wheat, *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 4(6): 384–389.
- Agamy, R. A., G. F. Mohamed, and M. M. Rady, 2012: Influence of the application of fertilizer types on growth, yield, anatomical structure and some chemical components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown in newly reclaimed soil, *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 6(3): 561–570.
- Ahmad, A. H., A. Wahid, F. Khalid, N. Fiaz, and M. S. Zamir, 2011: Impact of organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of forage oat (*Avena sativa L.*), *Cercetari Agronomiceîn Moldova*, **3**: 147.
- Amanullah, A. A., S. Khan, M. Ahmed, and J. Khan, 2012: Biofertilizer -a possible substitute of fertilizers in production of wheat variety Zardana in

- Balochistan, *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research*, **25**(1): 44-49.
- Arora, S. and M. Singh, 2004: Interaction effect of zinc and nitrogen on growth and yield of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) on Typic Ustipsamments, *Asian Journal of Plant Science*, **3**(1): 101–103.
- Arshad, M., M. Adnan, S. Ahmed, A. K. Khan, I. Ali, M. Ali, A. Ali, K. Khan, M. A. Kamal, F. Gul, and M. A. Khan, 2016: Integrated effect of phosphorus and zinc on wheat crop. *American–Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Science*, **16**(3): 455–459.
- Autade, R. H., A. S. Patil, D. K. Jadhav, Y. Sutar, and A. M. Bhosale, 2011: Effect of *Azotobacter* spp. inoculum on growth of wheat variety Trimbak, *Asian Journal of Bio Science*, **6**(2): 201–206.
- Azeez, J. O., 2009: Effects of nitrogen application and weed interference on performance of some tropical maize genotypes in Nigeria, *Pedosphere*, **19**(5): 654–662.
- Azimzadeh, S. M. and S. J. Azimzadeh, 2012: Study on replacement probability of biofertilizer with chemical fertilizer in bread wheat (*Triticum astivum L*), *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 6(10): 2602–2610.
- Bhat, M. D., K. N. Singh, A. Bali, and M. H. Shah, 2000: Grain yield of oat (*Avena sativa*) as influenced by sowing time and nitrogen levels under temperate conditions of Kashmir. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **45**(1): 199–204.
- Bilal, M., M. Ayub, M. Tariq, M. Tahir, and M. A. Nadeem, 2017. Dry matter yield and forage quality traits of oat (Avena sativa L.) under integrative use of microbial and synthetic source of nitrogen, Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences, 16 (3): 236-241.
- DAHD & F, 2012: 19th Livestock Census, 2012. Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Government of India. http://dahd.nic.in/dahd/WriteReadData/Livestock.pdf.
- Deva, S., A. Tandon, and P. Pandey, 2014: Effect of tillage practices and nutrient management on yield and economics of fodder oat, *Forage Research*, **40**: 49-50.
- Devi, U., K. P. Singh, S. Kumar, and M. Shehwag, 2014: Effect of nitrogen levels, organic manures and Azotobacter spp. inoculation on yield and economics of multi-cut oats, Forage Research, 40(1): 36–43.
- Diacono, M., P. Rubino, and F. Montemurro, 2013: Precision nitrogen management of wheat; a review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 33(1): 219–241.
- Eleiwa, M. E., E. R. Hamed, and H. S. Shehata, 2012: Biofertilizers and/or some micronutrients role on wheat plants grown on newly reclaimed soil, *African Journal of Ecology*, **50**(4): 464–475.
- Esmailpour, A., M. Hassanzadehdelouei, and A. Madani, 2013: Impact of livestock manure, nitrogen and biofertilizer (*Azotobacter* spp.) on yield and yield components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.),

- CercetariAgronomice in Moldova, 46(2): 5–15.
- Fallahi, J., P. R. Moghaddam, M. N. Mahallati, M. A. Behdani, M. A. Shajari, and M. B. Amiri, 2013: Influence of seed nitrogen content and biofertilizer priming on wheat germination in salinity stress conditions, *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 59(6): 791–801.
- Farnia, A. and E. Gudiny, 2014: Changes in yield and yield components of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) under application of phosphate and nitrogen biofertilizers, *Bulletin of Environment*, *Pharmacology and Life Sciences*, 3(5): 98–104.
- Ghaderi, D. N., A. Bakhshandeh, and M. R. Rostami, 2012: Biofertilizer affects yield and yield components of wheat, *International Journal of Agriculture Research and Review*, 2(Special issue): 699–704.
- Godara, A. S., Satpal, B. S. Duhan, and S. K. Pahuja, 2016: Effect of different nitrogen levels on forage yield, quality and economics of oat (*Avena sativa L.*), Forage Research, 41 (4): 233-236.
- Hazra, C. R. and N. C. Sinha, 1996: Nutritional requirement of forages, *Forage seed production*, 67–68.
- Hussain, S., I. U. Khan, A. Sattar, A. Sher, M. Ijaz, M. Iqbal, A. Areeb, and M. Irfan, 2016. Influence of different methods and time of phosphorus fertilizer application in wheat under arid condition, *Journal* of Global Innovation on Agricultural and Social Sciences, 4(1): 8–14.
- Jan, K., A. M. Rather, M. V. Boswal, and A. H. Ganie, 2014: Effect of biofertilizer and organic fertilizer on morpho-physiological parameters associated with grain yield with emphasis for further improvement in wheat yield production (Bread wheat = Triticum aestivum L.). International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences, 7(4): 178–184.
- Jayanthi, C., P. M. Khan, A. K. Fazullah, and C. Chinnusamy, 2002: Integrated nutrient management in forage oat (Avena sativa), Indian Journal of Agronomy, 47: 130-133.
- Joshi, R. V., B. J. Patel, and K. M. Patel, 2015: Effect of nitrogen levels and time of application on growth, yield, quality, nitrogen, phosphorous content and uptake for seed production of oat (*Avena sativa* L.), *Forage Res.*, 41 (2): 104-108.
- Joshi, Y. P., S. S. Verma, and R. L. Bhilare, 2007: Effect of zinc levels on growth and yield of oat, *Forage Res.*, 32(4): 238–239.
- Kakol, N. B., S. C. Alagundagi, and S. V. Hosamani, 2003: Effect of seed rate and nitrogen levels on growth and forage yield of oat under irrigation, *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science* **16**(3): 368–372.
- Karwasra, R. S., V. Kumar, and A. Kumar, 2007: Integrated nutrient and cutting management, *Forage Res.* **33**(1): 63–64.
- Khan, I., G. Hassan, M. I. Khan, and M. Gul, 2007: Effect of wild oat (*Avena fatua* L.) population and nitrogen levels on some agronomic traits of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*. **31**: 91–101.
- Khanday, B. A., A. R. Samoon, R. Waseem, J. Khanday,

- and F. A. Bahar, 2009: Integrated nutrient management for seed production of oat under temperate condition of Kashmir, *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 5(1): 145–147.
- Kubresh, N. P. and V. S. Bezsilko, 1990: Effect of mineral fertilizers on yield and quality of oat grain, *Agrokhimiya*, (4): 64–67.
- Kumar, N. and B. R. Sood, 1995: Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus levels on forage yield and quality of oat, berseem, *Bharatya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika*, 10(1/2): 80–84.
- Kumar, S., and Shivadhar, 2006: Influence of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on forage productivity and economics of oat (Avena sativa L.), Annals of Agricultural Research, 27: 205-209.
- Malakar, B., S. Mondal, P. Bandopadhyay, and C. K. Kundu, 2009: Response of forage oat (var. OS-6) to nitrogen and phosphate fertilizers in the new alluvial zone of West Bengal, *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 5(2): 36–38.
- Malik, R., B. Paynter, 2010: Influence of N and K fertilization on yield and quality of oats hay and grain in Western Australia. 2010 19th World Congress of Soil Science, Soil Solutions for a Changing World: 186–189.
- Meena, R. L., M. C. Meena, B. L. Meena, S. C. Sharma and A. Sahu. 2019: Varshakaleen ghas se kamayen munafa. Kheti magazine published by ICAR, 75(5): 41-43.
- Miransari, M., 2011(c): Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and nitrogen uptake, Archives of Microbiology, 193: 77–81
- Miransari, M., and A. F. Mackenzie, 2011(a): Development of a soil N test for fertilizer requirements for corn production in Quebec, Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 42: 50–65.
- Miransari, M., and A.F. Mackenzie, 2011(b): Development of a soil N test for fertilizer requirements for wheat, Journal of Plant Nutrition, 34: 762–777.
- Mirshekari, B., S. B. Kouchebagh, 2013: Sustainable production of new released wheat cultivars by using urea plus nitragin as a biofertilizer, *International Journal of Biosciences*, 3(6): 38–44.
- Mirshekari, B., S. Hokmalipour, R. S. Sharifi, F. Farahvash, and A. E. K. Gadim, 2012. Effect of seed biopriming with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on yield and dry matter accumulation of spring barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) at various levels of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers, *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, 10(3&4): 314–320.
- Moghadam, H. R. T., H. Salimi, P. Kasraie, and F. Jamshidpour, 2012. Effect of Azospirillum and Azotobacter in combination with nitrogen chemical fertilizer on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), *Research on Crops*, 13(1): 29–36.
- Mohammed, S. S., A. G. Osman, A. M. Mohammed, A. S. Abdalla, A. M. Sherif, and A. M. E. Rugheim, 2012: Effects of organic and microbial fertilization on wheat growth and yield, *International Research*

- *Journal of Agricultural Science and Soil Science*, 2(4): 149–154.
- Mohr, R. M., C. A. Grant, W. E. May, and F. C. Stevenson, 2007: The influence of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash fertilizer application on oat yield and quality, *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 87: 459–468.
- Monem, R., F. Paknejad, A. Kashani, M. Ardakani, and A. Pazoki, 2015: Effects of nitrogen fertilizers and winter cover crops on corn yield and Some Soil Characteristics, *Bulletin of Environment*, *Pharmacology and Life Sciences*, 4(11): 72–77.
- Namvar, A., T. Khandan, and M. Shojaei, 2012: Effects of bio and chemical nitrogen fertilizer on grain and oil yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) under different rates of plant density, *Annals of Biological Research*, 3(2): 1125–1131.
- Omran, S. E. H., A. I. M. Eman, and A. H. El-Guibali, 2009: Influence of organic and Bio fertilization on productivity, viability and chemical components of flax seeds, *Egypt Journal of Soil Science*, 49(1): 49–64.
- Oste, R. and G. Onning, 2000 Non-dairy milk products from oats. Sixth International Oat Conference. pp, 8.
- Patel, A. S., T. N. Barevadia, M. R. Patel, A. C. Sadhu, and H. P. Parmar, 2007: Effect of nitrogen and different management practices on growth and seed production of oat (*Avena sativa L.*), *Forage Research*, 32(4): 264–266.
- Patel, M. R., A. C. Sadhu, and J. C. Patel, 2008: Effect of irrigation, nitrogen and biofertilizer inoculation on N, P and K content and uptake of forage oat (*Avena* sativa L.), Research on Crops, 9(3): 544–546.
- Poureidi, S., M. Yazdanpanah, A. Rokhzadi, M. Amiri, and H. Fayazi, 2015: Effect of Plant growth Promoting Bacteria (Azospirillum, Azotobacter, Pseudomonas), humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer on growth and yield of wheat, Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences, 4(11): 82–87.
- Rana, A., M. Joshi, R. Prasanna, Y. S. Shivay, and L. Nain, 2012: Biofortification of wheat through inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and cyanobacteria, European Journal of Soil Biology, 50: 118–126.
- Rana, D. S., B. Singh, K. Gupta, A. K. Dhaka, and S. Arya, 2013: Response of fodder sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) to zinc and iron, Forage Research, 39: 45-47.
- Ravikumar, S., S. Shanthy, A. Kalaiarasi, and M. Sumaya, 2014: The biofertilizer effect of halophilic phosphate solubilising bacteria on *Oryza sativa*, *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 19(10): 1406–1411.
- Saber, Z., H. Pirdashti, M. Esmaeili, A. Abbasian, and A. Heidarzadeh, 2012: Response of wheat growth parameters to co-inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and different levels of inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus, *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 16(2): 213–219.
- Sary, G. A., H. M. El-Naggar, M. O. Kabesh, M. F. El-

- Kramany, and G. Sh. H. Bakhoum, 2009: Effect of bio-organic fertilization and some weed control treatments on yield and yield components of wheat, *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 5(1): 55–62
- Scursoni, J. A., M. Palmano, A. De Notta, and D. Delfino, 2012: Italian rye grass (*Loliumm ultiflorum* Lam.) density and N fertilization on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) yield in Argentina, *Crop Protection*, 32: 36–40.
- SELF nutrition data, http://nutritiondata.self.com/facts/ cereal-grains-and-pasta: accessed on 22 Jul 2015.
- Shakovets, O.E., 2003: Effect of different levels of application of mineral fertilizers on yield and quality of oat on podzolic sandy loam soil, *Vestso Natsyyanalna I Akademii Navuk Belarusi Seryya Agrarnykh Navuk*, (3): 75–78.
- Sheoran, R. S., D. S. Jatasara, D. S. Rana, 2000: Efficacy of *Azotobacter* inoculation under graded doses of nitrogen fertilizer in relation to growth, yield and nitrogen utilization efficiency of oat (*Avena sativa* L.), *Acta Agronomica Hungarica*, 48(2): 165–170.
- Singh, J., J. S. Yadav, V. Kumar, and B. D. Yadav, 2000: Response of oat (*Avena sativa*) to *Azotobacter* at different nitrogen levels, *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 45(2): 433–436.
- Singh, V. P., S. S. Verma, and R. Chandra, 2005: Effect of fertility level with biofertilizer and cutting management on seed yield of oat, *Forage Research*, 31(1): 57–58.
- Sterna, V. S. Zute and L. Brunava, 2016: Oat Grain Composition and Its Nutrition Benefice. Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia, 8: 252–56.
- Tripathi, S. B., 2003: Soil test-based phosphorus recommendation to fodder oat grown as sole and mixed with Indian clover (*Melilotus paniflora*), Range Management and Agroforestry, 24(3): 100–103.
- Verma, C., J. D. Thanki, D. Singh, and S. N. Chaudhari, 2016: Effect of nitrogen, bio-fertilizer and fertilizer and farm yard manure on yield and nutrient uptake in oat (Avena sativa L.), The Bioscan, 11: 499-501.
- Whole Grains Bureau. History of whole grains. http://www.wholegrainsbureau. ca/about_wg/history_of_wg. html. Accessed 9 April 2014.
- Wortman, S. E., A. S. Davis, B. J. Schutte, and J. L. Lindquist, 2011: Integrating management of soil nitrogen and weeds, *Weed Science*, 59: 162–170.
- Zhou, M. X., M. Glennie-Holmes, K. Robards, G. L. Roberts, and S. Helliwell, 1998: Effect of sowing date, nitrogen application and sowing rate on oat quality, Australian Journal of Agricultural Research, 49(5): 845–852.
- Ziaeian, A. H. and M. J. Malakouti, 2001: Effect of Fe, Zn and Cu fertilization on the yield and grain quality of wheat in the calcareous soils of Iran, *Plant Nutrition*, 92: 840–841.